

Speaker Blair: "I have just a short announcement to make. All those that can hear my voice wherever they may be, ah... the Appropriations Committee is still meeting. They're going to be finished we hope very shortly and we hope that they can finish up all the Bills that we have in Appropriations Committee. So please stand at ease. The House will be in order. The invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. Lord, God of our Father, as we make the prayer of the promise our own, as we join in lifting our hearts to your throne of grace saying, creating me a clean heart, Oh God and put a right spirit within me. Cast me not away from your presence and take not your holy spirit from me. Restore the joy of salvation and uphold me with a willing spirit. Oh Lord, open my lips and let my mouth show forth your praises. We desire truth in the inward heart of man, therefore, teaching wisdom in my inner heart. Have mercy upon me according to your steadfast love and fill me this day with joy and gladness and now, Lord, imprint this prayer upon my heart not only at this moment of invocation, but as Bill upon Bill is read, vote upon vote is taken, minutes grow into hours, freshness gives way to weariness, and finally a prayer for a swift and blessed adjournment is the only thing that fills our thoughts. We pray this in our Redeemer's name, Amen."

Speaker Blair: "I just told Dr. Johnson, right on. Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 188. I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests Committee of Congress to consist of five Members from each House. Action taken by the Senate June 27, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bills of the following titles. House Bill 124, 490, 596, 662, 684, 724, 725, 771, 903, 1085, 1141, 1303, 1427, 1452, 1453, 1454, 1570, and 1871. Passed the Senate June 27, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."



Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has receded from Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 174. Action taken by the Senate, June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has receded from Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 344. Action taken by the Senate June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the House Amendment to a Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 607. Concurred in by the Senate June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution. House Joint Resolution 4. Action taken by the Senate June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment numbers 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 298. Concurred in by the Senate June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following preamble and Joint Resolution. House Joint Resolution 61. Concurred in by the Senate June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passed of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1872 together with the following Amendment which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1617 together with the following Amendment of which I'm instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage



of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1508 together with the following Amendment of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1451 together with the following Amendment, the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 3024 together with the following Amendment the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 1293, 1152, 918, 789, 739, 736, 661, 610, 603, 534, 447 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 27, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 164 together with the following Amendment of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended... June the 27th, 1973. If I can have your attention for just a moment. The new insurance people have a table set up right under the bulletin board in the back of the chambers. Anyone that hasn't signed up with the insurance program, please go back there and sign up now."

Telcser: "Introduction of First Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Ah... House Bill 2005, Hyde. Amends the Criminal Code of 1961. First Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to



announce that I have ah... just filed House Bill 2005 ah... which I trust will be considered next September ah... This Bill brings up to date our obscenity statutes to conform it with the new Supreme Court decision, Miller vs. California, which I think is ah... a very important change and hopefully we'll have something to do with stemming the flood of pornography and I do invite anyone who would like to co-sponsor the Bill to step up to the Clerk and do so. Thank you."

Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 486, Fary et al. House Resolution 487, Choate et al. House Resolution 488, Choate et al. House Resolution 489, Lemke et al. House Resolution 492, Deavers. House Joint Resolution 494, Soderstrom. House Joint Resolution 69, Fary et al. House Joint Resolution 70, Shea et al. House Joint Resolution 72, Fary et al."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to take this opportunity to introduce a group of visitors to the House. The Senior Citizens of West Garfield in Lawndale Urban Progress Center. They're down here under the ah... with Mary Robinson, Mrs. Gordon and Mrs. Sawyer and they're from the districts of Representatives Molloy, Patrick, Simms, Lemke, McAvoy and Terzich. If they'd stand up, please."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, has moved that the Agreed Resolutions be adopted. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye'; the opposed 'no' and the Resolutions are adopted. On the Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day. One minute, the Representative ah... D. Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

D.L. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table a Senate Bill, Senate Bill 1055. This is with the consent of the Senate sponsor, Senator Hynes and myself as the House Sponsor. The inequity to which this Bill was directed has been administratively resolved by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. I move to table Senate Bill 1055."

Telcser: "Hearing no objections, Senate Bill 1055 will be tabled."



Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 189. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 252. An Act to amend Section of an Act creating the Illinois Veterans Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 451. An Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 547. An Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 575. An Act to amend the Livestock Auction Markets Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 676. An Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 724. An Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 756. An Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 770. An Act in relation to water supply and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 776. An Act to amend the Weights and Measures Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1012. An Act authorizing the Director of Conservation to convey certain real property. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1177. An Act to create the Inner Agency Committee on Pesticides. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question, question is shall these Bills pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will make an appropriate number of ah... copies of the Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "This is the Agreed List Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "No, this is Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 126 'ayes', no 'nays', and these Bills having received the Constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like the Members to note that there is a list that was sent out this morning and put on their desks of Senate Bills, Third Reading similar to the list of House Bills that were supplied last night. The House Bills last night, there were 36 Bills passed on one Roll Call and probably saved three or four hours of the time of the House."



This list contains 115 Bills on Third Reading so that if the Members will look at it and see if we can move with this batch, it would be greatly appreciated."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think I join many others here in complimenting the Leadership for working out this Agreed List. I went over it and I think they've done a 'hell' of a job and I appreciate it."

Telcser: "Thank you very much, ah... John. The Gentleman from Vermillion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to table House Bill 837."

Telcser: "Any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 837 will be tabled. Okay, in the order of Resolutions. Is Bill Walsh on the floor? Representative Pappas offered a Resolution. We're going to consider that Resolution now. Is Representative Bill Walsh on the floor? Okay, here he is. We're going to take Pappas's Resolution now, Bill."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 493, Pappas. Where as the..."

Telcser: "Is that the right number, Fred, on the... The Clerk says that's the right number, Pete. The Clerk had to renumber some of them."

Fred Selcke: "Whereas this House of Representatives is approaching its expected..."

Telcser: "Will the Members please pay attention to this Resolution because if it's adopted, ah... it's going to have a ah... an effect on our closing days of the Session in terms of time."

Fred Selcke: "Whereas this House of Representatives approaching its expected adjournment date on June 30, 1973 with a substantial amount of work to be completed, and whereas is not productive of anything to spend the hours remaining with excessive bursts of explanatory rhetoric that contribute little if anything to the resolution of the issues at hand, and now therefore be it resolved by the House of Representatives, the 78th General Assembly, that House rule 58(b) shall be suspended for the remainder of the month of June of the year 1973 and that in the waning days of this Session no



explanation of votes be permitted and that this temporary rule be suspended only by a vote of 107 Members."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to suspend the appropriate rule for immediate consideration of House Resolution 493."

Telcser: "Okay, ah... Representative Pappas, your Resolution is really tantamount to you putting your motion, er... to suspend the provisions of the rule 58(b) which provides for the explanation of vote. Ah... There is really no need for suspension of the rules. Now the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas, has moved that the provisions of rule 58(b) ah... be suspended ah... up through the rest of this... Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "It's ah... for the balance of June, 1973."

Telcser: "For the balance of June, of June, 1973. All right, now, discussion? The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly can agree with the intent of the Resolution. I personally don't feel that we need to pass a Resolution because if the Membership would listen to the intent of the Resolution, they would fully understand that they, themselves, many times by the explanation of votes are delaying their own departure from these Legislative halls for this Session of the General Assembly. I would hope, I would hope that regardless of whether the Resolution passes or whether it is defeated that the Membership including all of us would get the meaningful intent of the Resolution."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am in total sympathy with the ah... purposes behind this Resolution and the motivations. I think we're all reaching the end of the line emotionally and legislatively and everything else; but at the same time, I would rather that we would exercise self-discipline than have a formal foreclosure of debate, even explanation of vote because as we know ah... during the last days and hours of the Session, Conference Committee Reports will come in as fast and furiously and it takes sometimes some of us a little longer to gather in the import



of these Conference Committee Reports which are, after all, passage of a Bill and it may be that ah... in the ah... our haste to get out of here, some very ah... bad legislation could get adopted and so ah... at least in explanation of votes, someone perceptive ah... than others can ah... get attention and ah... lucidate on why the Conference Committee Report or the Bill or the Resolution ought not to be adopted and thus instruct the rest of us and while I hope that ah... explanations of vote will be held to a minimum, I think we all need the opportunity to express ourselves and to instruct our colleagues as to the dangers ah... on particular legislation. It is my experience that some of the most important legislation ah... finds its way on our desks in the waning hours of the Session where we are all tired and weary, but ah... nevertheless I think because one piece of legislation is as important or more than another we ought not to hobble ourselves ah... into a situation where we cannot have the benefit of somebody else's point of view and so ah... I would rather we exercise self-discipline if we can rather than forbid anybody from explaining their vote and not instructing ah... us on the real import of some of these Conference Committee Reports which as we all know can be pretty tricky. Thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "In the spirit of the Resolution, I move the previous question."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there needs to be no more discussion on the matter. I would ask for a Roll Call vote, please."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of rule 80 ah... 58(b) ah... for the rest of the month of June, 1973. This motion will take 89 votes. All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye'; the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Lake, Repre... The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Vote me 'present', Mr. Speaker."



Telcser: "Representative Choate is voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, out of this excellent Resolution, I'm not going to explain my vote."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 34 'ayes', 34 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion ah... to suspend the provisions under 58(b) fails. Ah... General Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 466, Catania."

Telcser: "The Lady from ah... Cook, ah... Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to suspend rule 41 so that House Resolution 466 can be considered by the full House without reference to Committee."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Resolution was assigned to Executive and I don't know why it didn't go there. Now it should have gone to Executive ah... if she wants to take it away from the Executive Committee, ah... 'Bilvo' will tell you that they're not going to have any more meetings, but it was assigned last week, Bill."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, the Clerk informs me that ah... it was just introduced on ah... yesterday, a couple days ago, er... today. It's been on the Clerk's desk and has not yet been referred."

Shea: "Well, my understanding was Representative Klosak, myself, and Cox agreed that all Resolutions introduced would go to Executive. Now as I remember, this Resolution ah... was introduced a week ago."

Telcser: "Yeh, the Clerk just tells me that... All right, it was filed..."

Shea: "It was an Agreed Resolution supposedly. It was taken out of the record."

Telcser: "Right."

Shea: "It should of gone to Executive."

Telcser: "Well, the key is it was taken out of the record and not according to the Clerk and not read first."

Shea: "But it should of gone to Executive."

Telcser: "Well, it's not in Exec. now as I understand it. The



Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, ah... in reply to Representative to Representative Shea I don't know what happened, Jerry, ah... but it was not referred to us or at least we did not receive it. We met yesterday and did not have it at that time and to the best of my knowledge, we don't have it now. The Executive Committee did not plan on meeting again. We ah... thought we had disposed of all the business the Sponsors wanted ah.. Resolutions called. This one we had no knowledge of. It did not come to us."

Shea: "All right, would you take it out of the record while I talk to Ms. Catania about it?"

Telcser: "It's up to her, you know, if she wants it."

Catania: "Well, actually, I think that Mr. Shea has been aware of this ever since last Saturday and I don't really think that it needs to be taken out of the record. I would like to just get a vote on it if I may."

Telcser: "Okay, ah... just one minute. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well that's an absurd request, Mr. Speaker, to take it out of the record. It's very clear what the Resolution does. It ah... calls upon the City Council to replace as quickly as possible an Alderman in the City of Chicago so that the people there can be represented in the City Council. Nothing more to it than that and I think we ought to hear it now and ah... ah... pass the Resolution."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Ah... My question was answered. I just wanted to know what that Resolution did. I thought it was another meat Bill."

Telcser: "The Lady has moved that ah... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "It takes 89 votes to suspend the rules for an immediate hearing, is that where we're at or does it take 107, Art, to suspend the rules."

Telcser: "In order to suspend the provisions of rule 41 to have this ah... Resolution moved to the order of Second without reference will take 107 votes. Okay? The Lady has moved the provisions of



rule 41 be suspended for the purpose of having House Resolution 466 come to the order of ah... Second Reading without reference to Committee. All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting 'aye'; the opposed by voting 'no'. The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to point out that this Resolution was filed last Friday. It was not sent to the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee is not planning to meet again. This is a very simple Resolution. All it does is to ask the City Council of the City of Chicago to please schedule an election for an alternate in the Fourth Ward. Now the Fourth Ward is entirely in my district and it's an area that desperately needs representation in the City Council. All this Resolution would do is to ask the City Council to schedule that election. Now I do need 107 votes if we're going to be able to adopt this Resolution. We're not even going to be able to consider it if we can't get 107 green lights up there and I would very much appreciate a green light from anybody who can see their way clear to just asking the City Council to do ah... this little thing that they're supposed to be doing anyway. Now the City Council sends us reminders about things they'd like us to do all the time and I fail to understand why we should not be able to just send a friendly reminder to the City Council on occasions and that's all that House Resolution 466 would attempt to do. I do need some more green lights."

Telcser: "Yes, you do. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McLendon."

McLendon: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am sure that the City Council will handle this matter. This is a matter for the Fourth Ward and ah... although it is in our district, there's no question about that, but it is usual custom of the City Council to set these automatic elections as soon as possible, as soon as they can conveniently be set up and I do not think this Resolution is a proper method to go about this matter. Thank you."

Telcser: "Any other... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, knowing that this election in the City



of Chicago..."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Shea: "Ah... Are we on a motion to suspend the rules or we talking about the Resolution?"

Telcser: "We're on a motion to suspend the rules and I believe the Gentleman is explaining his vote."

Douglas: "Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote. Ah... Having heard the previous Gentleman assuring me that the City Council, the City of Chicago will move as expeditiously as possible on this, something that concerns many of us very much and knowing that the move is a political move and that it's something that is very badly needed, I'm reassured and I'm going to vote 'present'."

Telcser: "Representative Douglas ah... votes 'present'. Have all voted, anyone else? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, now Susan has been a pretty good Member and this is a very minor request of hers. It won't hurt us a bit to give her and this ah... referendum or this ah... or this ah... encouragement to be sent to the City of Chicago. I think we all should just help a little bit on this. It costs us nothing."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ike Sims."

I.R. Sims: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Telcser: "There's no previous question to move. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there's 79 'ayes', 52 'nays'. The lady's motion fails. The Clerk read the other Resolution."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 490, Fleck, Philip. House Resolution 491, Chapman et al. House Joint Resolution 68, Ron Hoffman et al. House Joint Resolution 71, Schoeberlein."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I should of done this before you started reading Resolutions, but these people may not be here for an extended period of time and I would like to introduce the charming wife of one of our colleagues, his daughter, his son-in-law, and



his grandchild. The wife and family of Representative Monroe Flinn in the back gallery. Would you stand please."

Telcser: "Okay ah... now Speaker's Table. Are you going to handle this? You're not ah... The Lady from ah... ah... Cook, Representative Chapman ah... in regard to a motion pertaining to one of the Resolutions which was just read."

Chapman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... I move to ah... suspend the provisions of ah... rule 41 in order to immediately consider and adopt House Resolution ah... I'm not sure what the number is ah... Mrs. Dyer and I have discussed this Resolution with ah... Mr. Choate and Mr. Blair and ah.. ah... they are willing to have it ah... go on an Agreed List. It does require 89 votes, however, because... 107 on this motion, but the ah... in voting on the Resolution, it would require ah... 89. The Bill is ah... very similar to one that we ah... approved two years ago. It sets up a Committee which shall survey all branches of State government to determine the number of women and minority groups employees and officers distribution as to age, sex, rank, compensation, length of employment and promotion patterns. Ah... I ask for your support of ah... my ah... my motion to suspend rule 41."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion?"

Chapman: "It takes 107."

Telcser: "The Lady has moved for the suspension of ah... rule 41 for the immediate consideration and adoption, Eugenia, of ah... House Resolution 419. All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting 'aye'; the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 117 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the Lady's motion prevails. Now the Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman, ah..."

Chapman: "Having explained the Resolution, ah... I move for the adoption of the Resolution which is now before us."

Telcser: "Okay, this going to spend any money, Eugenia?"

Chapman: "Ah... It ah... it would take 89 if the Committee expenses shall be paid from the Appropriation for the..."

Telcser: "Okay, the Lady has moved that the House do adopt... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."



J.J. Wolf: "Well, I'm sorry, but I didn't hear the explanation of the Resolution before and that's why I voted 'no' and I'd like to know a little bit more about it."

Telcser: "The Lady... Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah... Delighted, Mr. Wolf. This is ah... very similar to a Committee that was organized by Mr. Washington and Mr. Barnes and other Legislators, ah... Mr. Gardner, two years ago to survey state employment as to opportunities offered to minority groups employees. This specifies there will be a Committee ah... appointed by the Speaker and Minority Leader. The Committee shall survey all the branches of State government to determine the number of women and minority group employees an officers and distribution as to age, sex, rank, compensation, length of employment, and ah... promotion patterns. The Committee may request and shall receive the full co-operation of State agencies. We, we do have ah... statutes and ah... Constitutional requirements for equality of ah... opportunities for all individuals in our State and what we need ah... to 'X' on this affect. So this is a fact-finding Committee. It is an on-going effort."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Would the Sponsor yield just for one question?"

Telcser: "Indicates she will."

J.J. Wolf: "Mrs. Chapman, are there any quota systems set up in this if there..."

Chapman: "Ah... You know, I happen to be someone who's opposed to a quota system."

J.J. Wolf: "Thank you very much."

Telcser: "There any further discussion? The Lady has moved the House do adopt House Resolution 491. All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 100 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the Lady's motion to adopt House Resolution 491 prevails. Geo-Karis 'aye'. Okay, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Representative Schoeberlein, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Schoeberlein: "I have House Joint Resolution ah... 71 there. I would like to make a motion on it."



Telcser: "Which one ah..."

Schoeberlein: "71. It was just presented."

Telcser: "Oh, it was just read?"

Schoeberlein: "Yes."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein, with regard to Resolution... Was it 471?"

Schoeberlein: "1 ah... ah... just 71."

Telcser: "House Resolution 71 which was just read. Okay, the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, would like suspension of rule ah... 41 for this Bill to move out of the House and to the Senate. All this does is ask the ah... Transportation Department at Elgin to make a survey of Route 59 on the portion that is not a four-lane highway at the present time. That is all. There is no money involved. It merely makes that request."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the provisions of rule 41 be suspended for immediate consideration and adoption of House Resolution 71. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Somebody want to ask a question? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could I ask what the Resolution does?"

Telcser: "Yeh, he just explained it. Do it again, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "All that it does is ask the Department of Transportation to make a study of 59 where it is not a four-lane highway to see whether in future years it can be made a four-lane highway."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. McAuliffe 'aye', Barnes 'aye'. You need a few more. Okay, Mann and Merlo 'aye'. Hill, Matijevich, Davis. Douglas 'aye'. On this question... I've got you, Corneal. Collins 'aye'. Waddell 'aye'. Richard Walsh. R.L. Dunn, Palmer. On this motion there are ah... 115 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman now moves the House do adopt House Resolution 71. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; the opposed 'no'. The Resolution's adopted. Okay, now House Bills, Second Reading, er... Senate Bills, Second Reading."



Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I'm wondering if this ah... I'd like to introduce some people in the Gallery. There's Mrs. Cleo Maragos and some friends of the family with the wife of Representative Sam Maragos. Mrs. Cathy McClain and her mother and dad, Mr. and Mrs. Cannon. Cathy is the wife of Mike McClain. And Mrs. and Mrs. Rachel Stedelin and Harold's secretary, Mrs. Burich are in the gallery."

Telcser: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Yeh, we have to ah... and we will follow the priority call. The Sponsor of 156 does not wish to have it called. Senate Bill 220."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 220, Tuerk. A Bill for an Act to amend the Health and Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 220 on page 1, line 14 and 15 by adding commas after the words..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, are we on Amendment #1?"

Telcser: "Yes."

Tuerk: "Yes, this Amendment was adopted in Committee which ah... added a couple commas in the language to bring it ah... in conformance with the Federal Act. I'd move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 220. All in favor... The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, in order to save a lot of time on these Amendments, I'd appreciate very much if he would explain what it does. Ah... Just to get up and say that it's ah... Committee Amendment and ah... it has to do with the Federal Law is not enough explanation as far as I'm concerned."

Tuerk: "Well, all right, I thought I had explained it, but I apologize for not. What it does in the ah... Section to the Bill, page 1, it adds a couple of commas which ah... then makes it clear that ah... the mines, for example, are protected by this Act. Otherwise, it was very vague and so forth in the language ah... and it was felt by the Bureau of Mines and also many Members of the Industrial Affairs Committee that this ah... had to be corrected and that's what the Committee moved on and I move for its adoption."



Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 220. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye'; the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 220..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 200 ah... stipulates that if any regulations in excess of applicable Federal standards shall before being promulgated be the subject of hearings as required by this Act and that's what that Amendment does and I'd move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption... The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Representative Tuerk, is this the Amendment that I took over to you to put the commas in the right places. Is this the Bill?"

Tuerk: "No, that was Amendment #1 which has already been adopted, Harold."

Stedelin: "But now this still keeps it like we wanted it kept. Thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 220. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3 amends Senate Bill 220 on page 1, line..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, was put on in Committee which excluded municipalities from the Act. We have found that ah... by so having that Amendment, it would jeopardize ah... the State plan as it relates to Federal grants and I would move at this time to table Amendment #3."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Farley. Farley."

Farley: "That is correct, Mr. Speaker. It would jeopardize the program. It was my Amendment and I would hope that it would be tabled."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #3. All in favor



signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 221."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 221, Tuerk. A Bill for an Act to amend the Safety Instruction Education Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 241."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 241, Philip. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Giorgi. Amends Senate Bill 241 on page 1, line 14 by deleting on the first Thursday of April and inserting in lieu thereof the following, at the General Election and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 mandates that the County Board Members will run at ah... stand for election in a primary in March and in a general in November and ah... I think that ah... this is probably the only election reform Bill left in either House of the General Assembly and I think ah... we've been beating our chests long enough telling the people of the State of Illinois they're going to get election reform. We've been bragging. We've spent almost a million dollars in Election Laws Commission expenditures and the ah... the Election Laws Commission Bill is in Interim Calendar in the Senate. I think we ought to be men of our words, stand up to be counted, and give the people election reform that they so much desire."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "Indicate he will."

Philip: "Yes, ah... I have a question, Representative Giorgi. Does that mandate, make it automatic for all the counties in downstate to combine the election of the County Board and the State?"

Giorgi: "No, this just applies to township-type counties, not the commission-type or the, the other. This is for the ah... the County Boards."



Philip: "I, I, I didn't, I didn't understand that explanation."

Giorgi: "This doesn't apply to township-type, ah... commission-type counties. It applies to counties that elect their County Board Members from, from districts."

Philip: "Well, let me say this that I haven't got the Amendment before me, but I want you to know that I'm, Senator Knuepfer and myself are both for election reform and we believe in the combination of some of these various elections. If I understand your Amendment correctly, this mandates it. The idea, you know, we've had this Bill before and we've had problems with it. These... a lot of downstate counties do not want to be mandated for the, the combination of elections. What this Bill does and the intent of this Bill does is to allow the County Board at their will if they so desire to combine elections and at this point, I would have to be opposed to your Amendment."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, to close."

Giorgi: "Well, the only election reform we might get is what's mandated by the Constitution. We're going to get a State Board of Elections. Whenever you try to mandate an election for County Boards or townships or school districts, all these special interest people come in and thwart the desires of the populace and the General Assembly. I think in this case, let's say that the County Board Members will be elected with us in the primary in March and they'll be elected in the General Election in November, I'm even automatically extending their terms six months so there shouldn't be any objection. I think the county people and the township people have been telling the people of the State of Illinois long enough that they're for election reforms. Let's give it to 'em. I urge your support."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 241. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. You want a Roll Call? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "I hope that the people would wake up on the floor of the House and know what this Amendment's going to do in effect, Ladies and



Gentleman, is defeat this Bill. Now we've had this Bill in the form that Representative Giorgi wants. You know it has to go over to the Senate to be concurred in and I guarantee you one thing, it'll be dead. And I don't want this Bill to die. I'm for election reform. This is the only chance we have. This is the only Bill that's alive and I'd like to see some more red lights up there."

Telcser: "Anyone else? Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Voting is still open, Sam, if you want to throw your switch. The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMasters."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I understand this Amendment it would make it mandatory that County Board elections be combined with the regular elections, general elections. We ah... had this Bill in Committee. We made it permissive so that the County Board might change and put it at the fall elections, their own election if they so desired. I think there would be good legislation to have it permissive. I don't think it should be mandatory at this time. For that reason, I would urge more green lights also. Let's help keep this a good Bill, red lights, I'm sorry, red lights. This would help keep the Bill in position that a County Board can again make some of their own decisions."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes', 70 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion to adoption Amendment #1 prevails. Are there further Amendments? That's it? Third Reading. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Yeh, Mr. Speaker. I have a question in, not in line with what's going on here, but I was going over the Agreed List and I was wondering how do Bills get on the Agreed List that fail to get sufficient amount of votes for passage? We had a Bill, Senate Bill 678, that did not receive in according to my synopsis the ah... The Bill did not receive the ah... sufficient number of votes on Third Reading and on June 19, the motion to reconsider was failed and now it's on the Agreed List. How did it get up there?"

Telcser: "I don't know. Representative Friedland is seeking recognition. Perhaps he has a answer. I really don't know."

Barnes: "It ain't been worked out with me. What do you mean..."



Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in response, as House Sponsor of Senate Bill 678 at 8:30 Saturday night, on a motion by Representative Bradley ah... with the vote was reconsidered on that measure. There was some misunderstandings about the Bill and perhaps my explanations were not adequate at the time that it was on Third Reading and as you recall, there was a shortage of Members on the floor at that time."

Barnes: "Well, in all due respect, Representative Friedland, I don't think there was any shortage of Members and I was one of the Members that objected to this particular Bill and I, I, I, I'm wondering what's going on when a Bill fails and then appears on an Agreed List."

Telcser: "Gene, ah... I don't know who works out the Agreed Lists. Ah... I suggest if you talk to Representative Shea or Choate..."

Barnes: "I know what happened. Someone has talked to me about this Bill even over in the Senate and I'm wondering how this Bill got on an Agreed List after it failed. I wonder what's going on here."

Telcser: "Well, I... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

R.A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, seems to me that we should just take this Bill off the list. I would agree with Representative Barnes. It seems to me that there are quite a number of Bills on there that ah... probably shouldn't be on there. This may be one and ah... let's just take it off that list along with a lot of others that should come off."

Telcser: "All right, now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Telcser: "Yes, state your point, sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, with regards to ah... Senate Bill 678, according to the Digest it was defeated at Third Reading on June 18. On June 19, a motion to reconsider the vote was filed. My recollection of the rule is that a motion to reconsider must be followed the day that the Bill was defeated or the action took place and I suggest that the motion to reconsider was out of order and may I have a ruling on that."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, if there was any question about it ah... to the best of my knowledge, that rule was suspended with 107 votes. The Bill was ah... reconsidered with 107 votes and put back on the Calendar and that was done as I remember sometime on a Saturday night or ah... late last Saturday night, Gene."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah... I, I would suggest if that Bill which, which failed and, and now is on the Agreed List, I think we'd better take a good close look at all of the Bills that are on this List."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 242."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 242. ah... Philip. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to County Boards. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Giorgi. Amends Senate Bill 242..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi. Zeke, you want to move ah..."

Giorgi: "Senate Bill 242 is a companion to Senate Bill 241 and I thought the Sponsor was going to hold 242 up because of the problem with 241."

Telcser: "You want that out of the record, the Gentleman from DuPage..."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we're going to try to work out, these are companion Bills and I'd like to hold both of them on Second Reading if that's possible. We're going to try to work out an Agreed Amendment."

Telcser: "You want to hold it on Second or should we move them to Third and bring 'em back when you want?"

Philip: "Whichever the pleasure of the Speaker."

Telcser: "Okay, Zeke? Well, we've already moved 241 to Third. You want to leave... Okay, we'll put 242 on the order of Second, 241 on Third. Senate Bill 903."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 903, Campbell. A Bill for an Act to create the Commission on Health Facilities, Accounting and Cost Reporting. Second Reading of the Bill."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative..."

Jack O'Brien: "One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 903."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment and ah... what it really does is changes the wording from a Commission to a Board and also increases the number of people to serve on this Board from 19 to 22 and ah... those three changes, one is adding the, the Director of the Department of Finance and two long term care facility representatives ah... rather than having... and reduces the number of hospital representatives on this from six to three, but increases the long term care and in addition to that it also provides that no rule or regulation or standard shall be adopted by the Departments concerning health facility accounting systems or cost regulated under this Act ah... to be submitted ah... not to rule on it unless it's submitted to the Board prior to and in addition to that ah... on page 5 it deletes lines 8 through 12 and adds the following, 'and experimental projects as it deems will advance the purpose of this Act to prepare and publish compilations, recommendations, or any other information and I would move ah... the adoption of the Amendment, Committee Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 903. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Campbell. Amends Senate Bill 903..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Yes, this is an Amndment that was requested by the Department and the changes it makes for instance on line, page 4, line 1. It deletes the hospital cost reports and inserts in lieu thereof health facility cost reports. Changes the reporting from at least annually to at least every three years except in emergency circumstances and then they can determine how often they would want those reports and the great fluctuation of cost and it also ah... ah... changes the Membership on the Advisory Committee to the Board, or sets up ah... provisions for the Advisory Committee to the Board."



And I'd move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 903. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Any more Amendments, Jack. Third Reading. Senate Bill 737."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 737, Madigan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table House, Senate Bill 737 and Senate Bill 738."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table ah... Senate Bill 737 and 738. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Those two Bills will be tabled. Senate Bill 860."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 860, Philip. A Bill for an Act relating to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Whoops, there are Amendments. Back on Second. Are there Amendments to ah... You want to change the number on the board also to 860."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 860 in line 17 by deleting 5% and inserting in lieu thereof 2% and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "This was not a Committee Amendment although we did discuss these Amendments in Committee and I agreed to the Amendments with the other side of the aisle and basically what it is is ah... clarification of the language and it changes the amount of registered voters from 5 to 2%, lowers it. So I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 860."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 860. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Now, let's see, 811 on, next on 720 on that row, Jack, they're all on Third already? Okay, Senate Bill 889. It's not on the Calendar either. What about 1010?"



Jack O'Brien: "No, it's not on the Calendar."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 12."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 12, Washington. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. Senate Bill 15."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 15, Washington. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code of Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 15 in the House..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Amendment to Senate Bill 15, Committee Amendment, is a clarifying Amendment. There's no opposition to it in the Committee. I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 15. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 29."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 29, Capparelli. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 29 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman .. Whose Amendment is this, Capparelli?"

Jack O'Brien: "Capparelli."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "I move for the adoption."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 29. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 38. Oh, the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to introduce our friend, John Walsh, his wife and daughter. John is now Chairman of the State Commanders Commission and former State Department Commander of the Catholic War Veterans of the State of Illinois. John Walsh."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 38."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 38, Stone. A Bill for an Act to amend the



Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schraeder. Amends Senate Bill 38 on page 1..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to table Amendment #1. It was incorrectly drawn. I have another Amendment on tap."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, this is my Bill."

Telcser: "I know it's your Bill, but there's an Amendment to it.

Representative Schraeder has an amendment as I understand. The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 38. All in favor, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion to table signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Mugalian. Amends Senate Bill 38..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2, I think, tries to do the same thing that Mr. Schraeder's ah... Representative Schraeder's Amendment once tried to do. I think he's tabled his because he's re-written it. This Bill originally made subject to Motor Fuel Tax all water carriers, recreational and commercial. That is the form in which the Bill was originally filed in the Senate. My Amendment to this Bill excluded from the imposition of the Motor Fuel Tax all non-recreational vessels and especially barges. This Amendment would put barges and commercial vessels back into the Bill so that all water carriers ah... would be subject to the tax."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah... I, I didn't hear him. I couldn't hear him when he was talking. I'd like to know ah... as far as the original Bill, Senate Bill 38, is concerned ah... in its original form ah... the way I understand it, they took barges out of this and now by this



Amendment, they took barges out and made it ah... recreational vehicles ah... on the waterways. Now does this put barges back in and is the Bill back to what it was as it was originally introduced?"

Telcser: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "The answer to all those questions is 'yes'. As originally introduced, it would affect all carriers. It was amended in the Senate to exclude barges. This Amendment puts barges back in. All commercial vehicles."

McPartlin: "All right, now what... as far as an increase in diesel fuel in concerned, what would this cost?"

Mugalian: "What would it cost whom?"

McPartlin: "Well, I mean ah... you've got what? Seven and a half cents per gallon for all motor fuel used in such motor vehicles? That's in the Bill now."

Mugalian: "The rate, the rate the barges..."

McPartlin: "In other words, is this an increase in cash, that's what I want to know. That's what I'm getting at."

Mugalian: "No, it's just an increase in coverage. The tax is the same. No change from the original Bill into the rate of tax to be imposed."

McPartlin: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have to oppose this Amendment. I, I believe there is an increase in ah... and I would definitely oppose this Amendment to ah... Senate Bill 38. There's got to be in increase in the Motor Fuel Tax on this Bill."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from ah... Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Stone's Bill, Senate Bill 38 as it was heard in Committee is the way this Bill should be passed. Any Amendments that passed changing the meaning of this Bill is detrimental to the Department of Revenue to the people of the State of Illinois and I resent any Amendment and I suggest a 'no' vote on any Amendment to Senate Bill 38."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I can tell you the



history of this Bill if you would like to, to know. Representative John Connally, four years ago, introduced a Bill in this House to get some money for, for the Department of Conservation to build boat ramps and to make improvements for recreational type vehicles. Presently under the law, if it weren't for this Bill and Representative Connally's Bill, the ah... gasoline or other motor fuel used on the navigable waters of the State of Illinois, the user can file, could file and get a refund of that tax. Now Representative Connally's Bill provided that the recreational type vehicles should contribute the gasoline tax they pay to the Department of Conservation so that this money could be used to build ramps and make other improvements for ah... recreational type watercraft. All the boat users that I know, Bob, were in favor of this. We're still in favor of it and I have been a recreational boat user for many, many years. We want this so that we can have ramps and so forth to launch our boats wherever we go. Now there was a case filed up in the Cook County area that said this was discriminatory because it was on gasoline powered vehicles only and did not include those that used diesel fuel. The decision of the lower court was that this is correct. This Bill merely provides that the ah... fuel used in recreational type vehicles whether it is diesel or gasoline, the tax shall go to the Department of Conservation for these purposes. Now I would like to say one other thing. If this Amendment and the succeeding Amendment is adopted, this law would clearly be unconstitutional because the barges and the other ah... vehicles, the ones that haul freight on the navigable waters of this state are under the jurisdiction of the Federal government and we cannot and it has so been held, we cannot tax them for the fuels used and if we did, then they would certainly buy all of the fuel they use in some state other than Illinois. This Amendment, if adopted, will kill a good Bill and one that the people who use recreational type watercraft desperately want and I certainly hope that this Amendment is soundly defeated and this goes for the next Amendment also."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."



Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, question of barge paying, the barge company paying their fair share on taxes I think has been well taken, but I beg to differ with the previous speaker. We're not trying to take away facilities for recreational type water craft. In fact, we're encouraging it. What we're trying to do here is to say that the barge companies should pay their fair share of taxes. Now the question was brought up in Committee where you can't tax 'em 'cause you don't have facilities for the barges. Well I say that's not a fair and fair question and I say let's tax 'em and then give them the facilities that they're justly entitled to. We're not trying to do anything for recreational craft. We're just trying to make an equal situation. We must remember that all the other transportation facilities and corporations within the State of Illinois pay their just tax, railroads, buses, trucks. They're all involved with inter-state commerce. They pay their taxes to the Federal government. They pay it to the State and we're just saying here that why are barges excluded and we're just trying to put 'em into the Act and I recommend that we do accept the Amendment."

Telcser: "Further discussion? The Sponsor, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian, to close."

Mugalian: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I sincerely do not believe that there's any constitutional question with this Amendment. Ah... This Amendment would put the Bill back in the, in the same, same state that it was when it was filed in the Senate. The, the only question that this Amendment raises is whether all the users of Illinois waterways, navigable rivers, will have to pay the same tax. Ah... This Amendment eliminates the special interest aspect of this Bill as it now stands and I urge its adoption, the adoption of the Amendment that is."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 38. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Briefly explaining my vote, this is a classic case of people with lots of clout trying to get out from under the effect of a Bill that ought to apply to everybody equally."



Now the Bill in its present form without the Amendment applies only to recreational boaters. The Amendment would make it apply to everybody who uses the waterways and the facilities, commercial and non-commercial. I see no reason we should apply this tax to recreational boaters and not the people who are making money using the state waterways. I urge an 'aye' vote on the Amendment."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Clabaugh 'no'. Molloy 'aye'. McAuliffe 'aye'. This question there are 37 'ayes', 48 'nays'. The Gentlemen's motion to adopt Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 38 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Schraeder. Amends Senate Bill 38 on..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm not going to belabor the hall this morning with this Amendment. It's very similar to the one we just defeated or it was just defeated. I would just like to say that it seems to me that we cannot let any industry such as the barge industry have a free ride with a non-payment of taxes and I maintain that is a good position, but since the House has already defeated the previous Amendment which would do the same thing, I would table this motion."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #3. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 192."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 192."

Telcser: "Is Representative Murphy on the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Murphy."

Telcser: "Well there's an Amendment on the Calendar to it. Okay, take it out of the record. Ah... All right Senate Bill 300."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 300, Terzich. A Bill for an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "All right, Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. Okay, now ah... I'm going to skip over to ah... Senate Bills, Third Reading for one matter which I am told has to be taken care of



before the Senate adjourns today and then I'm going to return back again to... We just moved your Bill, don't worry."

Jack O'Brien: "No Amendments."

Telcser: "We did already. Ah... and as soon as we finish this one this one Bill on Senate, Third, we're going to go back with Senate, Seconds. Now Senate Bills, Third Reading. The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Hart: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of suspending the rules so that we can go to Senate Bill 1071. Ah... This Bill is the one that changes the qualifications for the Director of the Department of Public Health and the Assistant Director. Ah... I think there's no disagreement about it. Ah... If we can get the Bill passed, the Senate Executive Committee will hold a confirmation hearing and I'm advised that ah... Director Joyce Lashoff will be confirmed as the new Department of Public Health Director. So I'd appreciate the vote of the Membership here to suspend the rules to take this matter up."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for the suspension of the provisions of rule 37 for the purpose of going out of order ah... to hear Senate Bill 1071. All in favor signify by saying, er... by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 126 'ayes', 2 'nays'. The Gentlemen's motion prevails. Senate Bill 1071."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1071, Hart. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As I just explained this Bill changes the qualifications of the Director of the Department of Public Health and the Assistant Director. Senate Amendment made it ah... applicable only until January 1, 1976. I would appreciate the support of the House."

Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1071 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 137 'ayes', no 'nays'. The Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Back on the order of Senate Bills,



Second Reading. Senate Bill 313."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 313, Philip. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment, amends Senate Bill 313 on page 1..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is Committee Amendment #1 and what it does it provides that park districts who have a full and pay time policeman which participated before July, 1973 would be considered in the program. The second thing does it says that these officers have to participate in Illinois Police Training Act. I agree with the Amendment so I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 313."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 313. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 326."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 326, Kosinski. A Bill for an Act to amend the Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "All right, Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 330."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 330, Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "All right, Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 430."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 430, Leinenweber. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 462."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 462, Lauer. A Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No, no Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 514."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 514, Kosinski. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 514 on page 4 by striking line 3 through 8 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this was a Committee Amendment of clarification and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the... Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 514. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 780."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 780, Mahar. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Yes, sir. Amendment #1, Mahar. Amends Senate Bill..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... Committee, er... Amendment #1 is an Amendment requested by some of the Members of the Committee which clarifies the language and states in effect that the total amount of working cash fund ah... the tax participation warrants sales and bond issues will not exceed 75%. I move for the adoption of Committee Amndment #1, er... Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 780. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 780 on..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 says that any working cash fund levied pursuant to the fact must pass a referendum of the voters of the junior college district. Now if I may briefly explain what the Bill ends up doing, this Bill requests that a 5 cent working cash fund be levied at the vote of the Board of Trustees of the Junior



Colleges. Now 5 cents doesn't sound like too much until you start comparing it against the funds that we'll be borrowing from, that 5 cent fund. The sheets that I have passed out entitled, 'Percentage Increase Possible if Junior College Districts Pass Working Cash Fund Pursuant to S.B. 780' indicates that the percentage increase, the minimum percentage increase if they take the entire 5 cents per hundred dollars assessed valuation is a 20% tax increase without a referendum. At maximum, it is a 63% increase in Rock Valley Junior College. It seems to me that if you're going to increase at the potential of increasing taxes that much, you should have a referendum. We have the Amendment before you here makes it mandatory and says that the referendum shall be held when the Junior College Trustees are elected. It seems to me that if they're going to request a tax increase, they ought to be willing to run on a platform and explain why they are requesting a tax increase. If this referendum fails, Amendment #3 will be next which has a backdoor referendum."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would have to oppose this Amendment because actually what it does is kills the entire Bill. Right now the Junior College District has the privilege of having a referendum so a referendum to establish a working cash fund would have no value at all. Now a working cash fund ah... is set up to allow a Junior College Board the opportunity to save the taxpayers some money. If they set up a working cash fund, they don't have to sell tax participation warrants. The secondary ah... districts, the primary districts and municipalities right now have the opportunity to set up working cash funds. It just seems to me it's reasonable for a Junior College District to have the same opportunity to save money and I would urge the defeat of Amendment #2."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... I rise to support this Amendment for more than one reason. I think you've heard me speak before about the intolerable conditions we



have at the Lewis and Clark Junior College in my district and I quite frankly believe that we should have the referendum privileged to the taxpayers of the Lewis and Clark Junior College District. Some are very disgusted with the way the Board is running the school and I would appreciate if you could possibly support Skinner's Amendment. Thank you."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to close."

Skinner: "If I may correct the previous speaker, this is the Skinner Kennedy Amendment. Ah... The comment has been made that the working cash fund is used for cities and school districts to save money, to save taxpayers money when ah... to use this money instead of ah... using tax anticipation warrants. First of all, you have to realize that if you don't, if a school district doesn't borrow money, he is taking the money from the taxpayer a year early and the taxpayer is losing interest on that money that he could have invested. The second point which I think is extremely important and sets this working cash fund away from the working cash fund of school districts is that the average tax rate of other school districts that may now have a 5 cent per hundred dollars assessed valuation working cash fund is about 2 dollars a hundred. That means the working tax fund is only, let's see... It's only 2 and a half percent of the total taxes which is going, the total tax fund from which, to which the money will be given. With the Junior College Districts, it's not 2 and a half percent. It's 20 to 63% and it certainly seems that the taxpayers should have some protection when a Junior College Board is asking to increase their taxes that much ah... and... in it's unamended form, it's without a referendum. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 780. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

R.A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would concur with my colleagues, Representatives Kennedy and Skinner on this Bill. It would seem to me that before we establish a, a new



fund such as this, we should permit the voters to pass on it with a referendum. It does amount to a property tax increase without a referendum if we defeat this Amendment. It's inconsistent with the property tax freeze Bill which has passed this House and I would urge that we vote 'aye'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to explain my vote working cash fund is a standard practice in local government. This is a permissive legislation which allows a Junior College District to levy up to 5 cents. Now the figures that was given by Representative Skinner ah... seem quite high because the general levy the Junior College Districts are rather small. Well I think we need to consider here is the fact that it allows local government an opportunity to work in behalf of their citizens. Now as was mentioned by a previous speaker, the people are concerned about how Junior College Boards operate. They have the polling place to go to the polling box, they go to the polling booth and turn these people down if they're not operating in their behalf or they're not doing a responsive job as far as their district is concerned. It's the same whether it's a municipality, a school district, or the State Legislature, what have you. I think this is just another tool that's needed by Junior Colleges to work in behalf of their constituency."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. It's probably no problem for most of us to support ah... an Amendment like this that deals with the question of referendum. My only problem with it is that it also incorporates the fact that it must run or be ah... posted simultaneously with the election of candidates ah... for the Junior College Board. Now the problem with that, of course, is the reality of living with the promises of what is an accepted notion and that is that we're all in favor of cutting taxes and reducing ah... the budget wherever we can and I think what happens is that sometimes we as candidates and others get over-zealous in our efforts to make reductions and cannot come forward with that promise once we're elected. So I think it's an impossibility



that in almost any situation to ah... be a candidtate ah... and face the question of tax reductions or increases honestly and forthrightly when we have not had the experience of, let's say, being a Board member for a college or a high school or even being elected a State Representative. So I think it's ah... a good intent to have referendums. I think it should be handled separately from the times when candidates run for that office and I think we ought to vote 'no' on the issue."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, you know it seems to me that the House is a little lethargic now and I'm very sorry that it is. I believe that the Sponsor of this Bill is very, very correct when he says that this Amendment should not be adopted. I think it, in many, many ways emasculates a good Bill and we should be voting 'no'. Now Mr. Speaker, I observe in my area I observe in the area of the House of which I am sitting that there are many, many 'aye' votes pulled and there's no one near the desk and there hasn't been for quite some while. And I would really suggest that this Roll Call be dumped and that anyone that wants to vote 'yes' or 'no', please come and vote 'yes' or 'no'. This is a back Amendment and I certainly hate to see it adopted by people who do not happen to be in their seats. I, I sincerely hope that this Roll Call is dumped and we take a good one."

Telcser: "Okay, if anyone is voting their seatmate, I suggest that they ah... not do it."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, that's impossible because there are people who've vote, people other than seatmates and I see that the switches are not being..."

Telcser: "Okay, I'm going to take another Roll Call, but I'm going to whip it through, so... The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 780. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a very good Amendment and I think it should be adopted. It seems to me that the people should have some sort of protection and it's a



very good Amendment. I have ah... I realize that the opposition says it's just permissive, but when we pass a permissive Bill like this down here, you can feel well assured that when it gets to the local body, you'll find out that they go right ahead. They use their permissiveness and they put it on and this would then go on the property tax. I don't see what's wrong with a referendum vote."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in reply to the Democratic Member from Champaign County or Moultrie County and I think he's a very honorable man, but I don't particularly like his tactics in trying to defeat a, an Amendment. Now I know he's against it, but there could have been as many red switches pulled as green switches and I'd like to inform the Gentleman that I'm voting 'aye'. I never pulled anyone's switch and I sure hope this Amendment passes."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I think there's one thing that's been neglected here is the fact that most of these districts at the end of the school year get into anticipation warrants and I think this would help to offset that situation and that's the reason I'm voting 'no' on this Amendment."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 58 'ayes', 41 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #2, Maragos 'no', ah... prevails."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #3, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 780."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #3."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #4, LaFleur. Amends Senate Bill 780."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House to table Amendment #4."



Telcser: "Any objections? Amendment #4 will be tabled. Are there further Amendments? That's it? Third Reading. Senate Bill 924."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 924. An Act to license and regulate persons engaged in the business of conducting auctions and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 924..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "I move for adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman..."

Craig: "It reduces the appropriation from 25, I believe, to 15,000. Is that right?"

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 924. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 937."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 937. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 937..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt. Representative Bluthardt on the floor?"

Bluthardt: "Body, but not in spirit."

Telcser: "I know how you feel, Ed."

Bluthardt: "937, is that the number?"

Telcser: "Yeh."

Bluthardt: "All right, this is a home rule Amendment that ah... we're familiar with. It's been placed on in Committee and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 937. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 962."

Telcser: "Just a second. Third Reading. Senate Bill 962."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 962. An Act to amend Section 2 of the Sanitation Registration Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"



Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Douglas. Amends Senate Bill 962 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. Which Bill is this? I wasn't listening."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 962 in connection with you have an Amendment on the desk."

Douglas: "Oh, Mr. Speaker, I move that this... this isn't worked out. I move that this Amendment be tabled."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 962. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Bluthardt. Amends Senate Bill 962."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Eluthardt: "This is a, an Amendment that was worked out in Committee with the agreement of the Commissioner of that Department and ah... I move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 962. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1052."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1052. An Act to amend Section 19 of the Revenue Act, 39. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1069."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1069. A Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitation, Sanitary and Registration Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Douglas. Amends Senate Bill 1069 on page 1 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "This has also been worked out. I move that this be tabled, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that Amendment #1 be tabled. All



in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1076."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1076. Amends the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1138."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1138. A Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitarium Registration Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment, amends Senate Bill 1138."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "This, again, is an Amendment that was worked out with the Director of the Department and made ah... with the agreement of the Committee and it establishes the ah... make-up of the Department of the Board of Registration for Sanitariums and I would move its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1138. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Bluthardt. Amends Senate Bill 1138."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "I believe that was Amendment #2, ah... Amendment #1 was Mr. Douglass' and I think he had agreed to table that."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment number..."

Bluthardt: "One."

Telcser: "One."

Bluthardt: "And adopt Amendment #2."

Telcser: "All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment #1 is tabled. The Amendment which we just adopted was Amendment #2. Is that right, Mr. Clerk. Well, #1 was a Committee Amendment offered by Representative Waddell..."

Bluthardt: "No, ah... Mr. Speaker, ah... may we back up a moment. Number 1 was a Committee Amendment offered by ah... Representative Waddell and ah... I now move to reconsider the vote by which the ah... number, Amendment #1 was ah... tabled."

Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side by which Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1138 was ah... adopted ah... the Gentleman moves to



reconsider that vote. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman's motion prevails. That vote is being reconsidered and now what do you want to do next, ah..."

Bluthardt: "Now, Mr. Speaker, having... I would now move that we adopt ah... Committee Amendment #1 to this Bill. Did I miss a step?"

Telcser: "No, Eddy, we just reconsidered the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted, didn't we?"

Bluthardt: "No, no, we reconsidered the vote by which it was tabled."

Telcser: "Oh, okay, the Gentleman now moves that the Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1138 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted."

Bluthardt: "Now do we have Amendment #2 on this?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Bluthardt. Amends Senate Bill 1138..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "This is the one ah... that we had worked out with the ah... the Director of that Committee and I move for its adoption."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has... Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to adopt Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1138. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1169. An Act to provide for the casual deficits or failure in revenues. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 68."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 68. Amends the Recreation Area Licensing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 89."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 89. Amends the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. 89, Schockey. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 93. Oh, is there an Amendment up here? 89, back on Second Reading. There's an Amendment here."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Collins. Amends Senate Bill 89 on page 1, line 3."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."



Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 89 is a Bill that was on the Agreed Bill List, ah... but I had to take it off because of this Amendment that was agreed to ah... in Committee. The Bill itself deals with ah... pensions for employees of the General Assembly and Amendment #1 would make certain that it only applies to full-time employees and so puts the words in stipulating employees who work over 1,500 hours a year and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 89."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 89. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 93."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 93. An Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 142. An Act making an appropriation to Joliet-Marquette Tri-centennial Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 142."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1 to, excuse me, to Senate Bill 142 restores some of the funds that the Senate took out of this appropriation and had the full support of the Appropriations Committee and I would move for the, for its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 142. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 157. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 183."

Fred Selcke: "183. An Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Trustees, State Universities Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment, amends Senate Bill 183..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "This ah... reduces the appropriation from ah... roughly about a half, from ah... \$24,165,551 to \$12,138,599 and I move its adoption."



Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 183. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 184."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 184. An Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Trustees, Teachers Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 184 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "This does the same thing as the previous Bill, ah... it reduces the appropriation by roughly one half and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 184. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 185."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 185. An Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Trustees, State Employees Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment, amends Senate Bill 185."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "This Amendment also reduces the appropriation by a little better than one half and I would move its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 185. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 186."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 186. An Act making an appropriation to the Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendments, amends Senate Bill 186."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "This is a similar type of Amendment which reduces the appropriation from \$2,735,000 plus to \$1,373,000 plus and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 186. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third



Reading. Senate Bill 236."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 236. An Act authorizing counties to provide urban services throughout the county and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. Five Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 236 on..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Committee staff has consolidated Amendments 1 through 5 which were adopted in Committee into Amendment 8 and it's my intention to move to table ah... Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's are tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #6, Skinner. Amends Senate..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment ah... requires that the balance for these ah... special services have the tax rate on it specified in cents per hundred. It seems to me a minimum requirement that we ought to have on referendums that are going to raise taxes."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah... There's no problem with this Amendment. It has my support. I'm happy to have it added."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 236. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #7, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 236, page 10 and so forth."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment ah... if they're going to borrow money, that they have to put the amount, the face value of the bonds or following language that is earlier in the Bill; if they are going to ask for authority to borrow some percentage of the assessed valuation or something like that, the word used in the Bill, I believe, is ah... the borrowing to some level ah... that they must put that level or the face value of the bonds on the ah... referendum ballot."



And here again it's just a, it's a, ah... an Amendment that will let the people know what the ah... the County Board is going to do."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I think it's a good Amendment if it helps further protect the people and I support it."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 236. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #8, Deuster. Amends Senate Bill..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "This is the Amendment that consolidates the ah.. first five Amendments who are principally technical. Although the Amendment does assure ah... and answer a couple of points raised in the Committee to make sure that whatever is done must be done by referendum. That's principally it. Ah... You'll see from top to bottom the representative reference is to referendum. And also ah... it indicates that ah... the Act shall no way be ah... construed to give counties powers they don't have now. I urge the adoption of Amendment #8."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 236. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #9, Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 236 page 2, line 28 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "The Committee suggested that the counties and the municipalities get together and work out some problems with the Bill. That has been done. That's reflected in Amendment #10. Ah... I would at this time, move to table Amendment #9 which was a preliminary expression."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #9. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #10, Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 236 as



amended and so forth."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #10 represents the agreement or accommodation made principally between the representatives of the counties and municipalities here ah... and that's found in Section 18 at the bottom of Amendment #10 which, I'm sorry, on the second page where ah... only if the county and the municipalities agree, may the urban services include the supplying and distribution of water in an area within one half mile of the corporate limits of the municipality and I would urge the adoption of Amendment #10."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 236. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 258."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 258. An Act relating to law enforcement officers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Philip. Amends Senate Bill 258."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not a Committee Amendment, but it is an Amendment that I agreed to in Committee to get the House, the Bill out of the House and all it does is clarify the language and make it very clear that a person who has a disability does not collect from two funds. I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 258."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 258. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Want a Roll Call? Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 263."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 263. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 264."



Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 264. An Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Dyer. Amends Senate Bill 264."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment to ah... Senate Bill 264 is simply a technical Amendment and inserts the words of 1973 just after the word at. It's the home rule, to the home rule Amendment. I move the adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 264. All in favor of the adotion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 268. Representative Hanahan here? Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 278."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 278, Douglas. Appropriation to the Board of Higher Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. Senate Bill 287."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 287, Maragos. Appropriation extends the Veterans Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendments. Amends Senate Bill 287 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment. This has been approved by the Appropriations Committee and I move for its adoption."

Telcser: "There any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 264. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', you have to write number up there, Fred? Fred, your number is wrong up there. Senate Bill 287. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 290."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 290. An Act to provide the ordinary and contingent expense of State Fair Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 290 and so



6-28-73
 forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder. Are you organized up there? Took you by surprise. Take it out of the record. The Gentleman can't find his Amendment. Take 290 out of the record. Senate Bill 297. Representative Juckett on the floor? Take 297 out of the record. Senate Bill 303."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 303. An Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 317."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 317 amends the Vital Records Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor? Amendment #1, Deavers. Amends Senate Bill 317 page 1 and..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 317 takes out the counties of 1,000,000 or more population and inserts the home rule Amendment in place of it and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 317. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Deavers. Amends Senate Bill 317."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Amendment #2 to 317 inserts the word after, after the word except on line 2. I move for the adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 317. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 320."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 320. Amends the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. It clarifies that the procedure for filing a request for hearings and makes it mandatory for the hearing to be held within 30 days. Move for its adoption."



Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 320. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 328."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 328. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 328 page 2..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendments asks that the Park Board have a copy of the backdoor referendum petition available at the office so any individual that would like to pass the petition doesn't have to go out and hire a lawyer to ah... draw up the petition. I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 328. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 329."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 329. Amends the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 369."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 369, Calvo. Appropriation to the expense of the Illinois Arts Council. Second Reading of the Bill. Is he here. Calvo here?"

Telcser: "Representative Calvo here? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment number, Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 369 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Telcser: "The Gentleman wants to know what it does, Sam. Oh, you don't know. Take it out of the record. Okay, want to read it."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Senate Bill 369 as amended on page 1 by inserting at line 17 the following. Portions of the above amount as necessary will be expended by the Illinois Arts Council to



establish and maintain a complete accounting of all moneys received and expenditures made in accordance with accounting procedures established by the Comptroller."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Again I repeat I move the adoption of Amendment #1 of House, er... Senate Bill 369."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 369. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Calvo's there. You want it adopted it adopted, Horace? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Ah... Sam, Sam, is this the Committee Amendment?"

Maragos: "Yes,"

Calvo: "Yes, no objections."

Telcser: "Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 371. Appropriation expense of Commerce Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 371 on page 3 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. This is a standard Amendment for reporting by the agency to the Appropriation staff and it was put on by the Committee. The Commerce Commission had no opposition to it and I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 371."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 371. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 371 as amended and so forth."

Pierce: "Senate Amendment number ah... excuse me, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 371 was offered in Committee, but the staff didn't have time to study it and the Chairman asked me to withdraw it and present it on the floor. Since that time, I believe both the Republican and Democratic Appropriations staffs have reviewed the



Amendment. It's a mere transfer of funds within the ah... within the appropriation of the Commerce Commission, \$63,000 transfer to the new Energy Advisory Unit which will deal with the energy crunch and provide a permanent place for data gathering and information on the energy shortage in our state ah... within the Illinois Commerce Commission. This function is kind of floating around between Departments and now the Commerce Commission will have the responsibility of helping people when they can't get enough propane for their corn drying in a rural elevator, they will call the Commerce Commission and this unit will do the work. I don't believe there's any opposition to the Amendment and I, therefore, move the adoption of Amendment #2 ah... to Senate Bill 371."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Dan, just how much money are you transferring in this?"

Pierce: "\$63,000 and of course it's at the request of the Commission, Chairman Lebermann, ah... and I believe ah... once the staffs on both sides have studied it, it... Previously, this money had been under Aeronautics within the budget of the Commission in a Bill which passed both Houses of the Legislature, is going to take the third carrier ah... regulation ah... third carrier airline away from the Commerce Commission and give it to the ah... Department of Aeronautics."

McPartlin: "This doesn't have anything to do with Chicago Southern or Air Illinois, does it?"

Pierce: "Ah... This mo... Yeh, this money was in the ah... \$63,000 was in their regulation of these third level carriers, Chicago Southern and Air Illinois, and the Commission, I believe, the Legislative Investigation Commission recommended that that regulation be removed from the Commerce Commission. That has been done by the Legislature and I believe it will be under the Department of Aeronautics which may or may not in turn be under the Department of Transportation. So because of that, ah... the money was available for the Energy Crisis Unit."

McPartlin: "Okay, fine, thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 371. All in favor of adoption signify by saying



'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 377."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 377, Londrigan. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capitol City Railroad Relocation Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 377 on page 1, line 5 by striking 500,000 and inserting in lieu thereof 21,300 and so forth."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, this is the lowering of the appropriation and the separation thereof of the two sections and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 377. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 406, Hyde. A Bill for an Act relating to evidence in actions through a cover for injuries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 459, Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capitol Development Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "All right, Amendments from the floor. Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 460, Shea. Supplemental appropriation to Capitol Development Boards. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 466, Boyle. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the limit of liability of land owners. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 531, Philip. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the expense of the state government. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "488."

Telcser: "I thought you were looking for an Amendment that apparently



has been misplaced, so..."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 581, Barry. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the expense of Economic and Fiscal Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 605, Schraeder. Amends the Uniform Commercial Codes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 606, Beaupre. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 616, Ewell. Appropriation to the State Board of Elections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 645, Boyle. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the operating of a public water supply system. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 646, R.K. Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 647."

Telcser: "One minute, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, what was that Bill number?"

Telcser: "646."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 647."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 647, Maragos. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 660, North. A Bill for an Act relating to the training of fire protection officers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, North. Amends Senate..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

North: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the home rule Amendment."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 660. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 661."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 661, Totten. A Bill for an Act amending the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 703. Oh, no. 689, I'm sorry."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 689, Waddell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Snowmobile Registration Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 689 on page 1, line 2 and 3 by deleting, 'and to repeal Section 3-9' and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "One Amendment to this and that merely took the distance that they were allowed to run the snowmobiles from nature preserves. Change it from one mile, reduced it down to 500 yards. Move the adoption."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah... Is there a home rule Amendment on this also?"

Waddell: "The wording was changed. Ah... that was all, they changed the wording around as far as the home rule, but it did not change the effect of the Bill at all."

McPartlin: "All right, fine, thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 689. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the



opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 703, Flinn. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the Office of State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to permit the Speaker to call Senate Bill 701 and 702 along with 703, 704, and 705. These are all the Treasurer's appropriations."

Telcser: "Is there any objection? Okay, let's call those two ah... that are right in numerical sequence to 703. 701 and 702, I think he said. All right, 703 has been read a second time. No Amendments from the floor. Third Reading on 703. Senate Bill 701."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 701. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 701 on page 2, line..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 ah... inserts as an afterthought some cost, money for the cost of paying some bond debts left out of the original Bill. Move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 701. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 702."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 702. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for public acts. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 702 on page 1, line 7 by deleting..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 702 is, updates the Bill, the original Bill called for bond debt service ah... and many of these payments have already been made and these are reflections by eliminating each line and ah... substituting the correct figure. I move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion. The Gentleman has offered to move the



adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 702. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments.
Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 704, Flinn. Appropriation for payment of certain contractual services. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 704 on page 1, line 9 by deleting 700,000 and inserting in lieu thereof 650,000."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 704 reduces the Treasurer's appropriation by \$50,000. The Treasurer agreed to this Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 704. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. 705."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 705, Flinn. Appropriation to State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 757, Maragos. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 769, Philip. A Bill for an Act to amend the County Executive Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 783, Walters. A Bill for an Act making appropriation for the expense of the Bi-State Development District. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 793, Garmisa. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to trust companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 820, Walters. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.



No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Walters. Amends Senate Bill 820 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 was brought about by a discussion during the ah... Committee meeting. The Director of the E.P.A. came and objected to the Bill and several Members also had a problem. What we did by this Amendment was delete the Section that they complained about which had to do with the cost of providing, to respond in a particular case a true and accurate copy of the transcript of those proceedings and we struck this from the Bill. Amendment #1 takes the objections away from the Committee and E.P.A. I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 820. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 824."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 824, Giorgi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Juckett. Amends Senate Bill 824."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett. Gentleman on the floor? Someone say Jucket is on the floor? Zeke, what do you want to do with your Bill. Do you want me to move it or you want to wait..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Chairman, ah... I plan to oppose the Juckett Amendments because these Bills are all the product of the Mental Health Commission and his Amendments are the product of the Mental Health Planning Board and ah... so I think that ah... we've got to move the Bills and if he shows me good cause, I'll move them back for him."

Telcser: "All right, are there any other Amendments beside the one of Jucketts'? None, Third Reading. Senate Bill 826. Representative



Juckett, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, would it be possible for the Sponsor to pull these? We're now meeting with the Senator's staff and working out the Amendment on these Bills. I indicated that we would do this and we are now doing it."

Giorgi: "Well, why don't you use some good sense and time and ask the House Sponsor to join you."

Juckett: "You're not a Member, you're not offering the Amendment, Mr. Giorgi."

Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman's Bill is now on the order of Third Reading."

Juckett: "I would request that he would pull it back so that we are working these out."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I... Juckett."

Telcser: "Well, why don't you..."

Giorgi: "Let's move the Bill to Third and if you..."

Telcser: "The Bill is on Third already."

Giorgi: "...an arrangement with the Senator, I'll pull 'em back off Third and put 'em back on Second. Let's get it moved so that..."

Juckett: "I prefer to have them on Second if that's possible. We're presently meeting in the Democrat staff office and working on it."

Telcser: "Representative McPartlin, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker, they've already been read and moved to Third Reading."

Telcser: "That's right."

McPartlin: "And what I'd like to do and Representative Giorgi said this that he would pull it back at the proper time."

Telcser: "The Bill is on Third Reading. There's no question about that. What are we on, Jack, 826."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 826, Giorgi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Juckett. Amends Senate Bill 826..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, in keeping with my promise to the Senate Sponsor,



I can't move this Amendment until we have the clearance and what I'm asking is that it be left on Second until we do work these out."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Juckett, we've moved hundreds of Bills to Third and moved them back to Second. I told you that if she finds your Amendments acceptable, I'll move it back to Second. What more do you want?"

Juckett: "I'd like them to be on Second so that we can put the Amendments on."

Giorgi: "What's wrong with moving them to Third and then back to..."

Juckett: "What's wrong with keeping 'em on Second?"

Telcser: "All right, Gentleman..."

Giorgi: "Because I want, we need the Legislative Day..."

Telcser: "Ah... fellows..."

Giorgi: "We're running out of time here."

Telcser: "Okay, now ah... the Sponsor of the Bill has indicated he wishes to have them called and moved along. He does have control over his Bills. If the Gentleman does not wish to put a motion to adopt his Amendments, ah... I'd be compelled to call, you know, move the Bills to Third Reading."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, do you want me to break my word to the Senate Sponsor?"

Telcser: "Representative Juckett, I... Of course, I wouldn't want you to do that nor would any Member of this House want you to do that, but the fact of the matter remains that Representative Giorgi as the principal wishes to have them called and the Sponsor of an Amendment for no matter, you know, what noble reason he has, doesn't wish to put a motion to adopt the Amendment which he offering..."

Juckett: "Okay, Mr. Speaker..."

Telcser: "...to move 'em."

Juckett: "Will the Sponsor then at my request move all of these Bills back to Second for the purpose of Amendments."

Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, you want to respond."

Giorgi: "I think that's what I said about a half an hour ago."

Juckett: "With that understanding at my request on moving 'em back, I have no objection then to moving them to Third."



Telcser: "Okay, Third Reading. Senate Bill 827."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 827, Giorgi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Any Committee Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 827 on page 1 by deleting line 1 through 3..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I don't have any Amendments. I think Mr. Matijevich has the Amendment. Do we have a Committee Amendment?"

Telcser: "This is a Committee Amendment."

Giorgi: "Well then I move for Amendment #1, ah... Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Okay, is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 826. No, we're on 827 now, aren't we, Jack?"

Giorgi: "827."

Telcser: "827. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Well Representative Juckett's Amendments ah... the Clerk will hold them so that when they resolve their differences, they can perhaps come back and offer them. Third Reading. Senate Bill 829."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 829. Giorgi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Beside Representative Juckett's Amendments, we'll hold those. Third Reading. Senate Bill 830."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 830, Giorgi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Licensing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 832. What, what, go back. There's an Amendment to 830. Well, we got Amendment #1 which is Representative Juckett's, Amendment #2 is Representative Matijevich's, then there's another couple that are ah... Juckett's again. You want ah... You wish to put yours ah... Okay, Amendment number... Well, you want to renumber the Amendments? How would you... We adopt number... Now the Clerk



indicates there may be ah... a little bit of confusion if we adopt #2 without acting on #1."

Giorgi: "Renumber 1."

Telcser: "Well, but then the Clerk tells me that they've been distributed on the Member's desk with the numbers on them. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Let's accept #1. It's a very innocuous Amendment. We can always move from three to take it off."

Telcser: "Juckett, Amendment #1. Okay, the Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative Juckett has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 830. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Matijevich. Amends Senate Bill 830..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've talked to the House Sponsor about Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 830. Actually, actually ah... Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 830 is, is the provisions of House Bill 1419 ah... which this ah... house quite overwhelmingly moved out into the Senate as part of the Health Care Licensure package and I think on the floor of the House, it was said that we spent \$100,000 for that ah... Health Care Licensure Commission and I'm trying to salvage some of the good work that that Commission did. This is the Hospital Division of that Health Care package and the establishment of the inter-disciplinary manpower utilization committee. The House Sponsor goes along with my Amendment and I would ah... ask for the approval of the House, the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 830."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 830. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 832."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 832, Randolph. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Officers Consultation Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 833."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 833, Waddell. A Bill for an Act to amend the ah... Agriculture Fair Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 880."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 880, Griesheimer. A Bill for an Act relating to General Assembly employees. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 880 on page 2, line 1 and page 3, line 17 by changing 900 to 1,500."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer. Representative Griesheimer on the floor? Take it out of the record. Representative Griesheimer's not on the floor. Is this his Bill or just his Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "It was his Bill."

Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman's not on the floor, so let's take that out of the record. Lead us to the next one. Senate Bill 906."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 906, G.L. Hoffman. Appropriation for the School Construction Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendments. Amends Senate Bill 906 on page 1..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

G.L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, ah... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 906 reduces the appropriation from ah... 9,000,000 to ah... 3,000,000 and I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Telcser: "All right, any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 906. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 909."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 909, G.L. Hoffman. Appropriation to the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 910."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 910, J.D. Jones. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Services Plan Act. Second Reading of the Bill, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor."



Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "The Amendment to ah... 910 was withdrawn."

Telcser: "It was?"

Leinenweber: "Yeh."

Telcser: "Well, no wonder they couldn't find it. Any further Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 923."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 923, Merlo. Appropriation..."

Telcser: "90... what? It's not on the priority call. Okay."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 923, Merlo. Appropriation to the Public Employees Pension Laws Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. Senate Bill 932. 93... Did we do 932? Jack, 932."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 932, Stone. A Bill for an Act to amend the Drainage Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 940."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 940, Dyer. A Bill for an Act relating to Surface Water Protection Districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 981."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 981, Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 981 on page 2 by deleting line 9, 10, 11, and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "I move for the adoption of the ah... Committee Amendment. What it states in each taxable leasehold estate created by a lease entered into after December 31, 1972, the value as the lessee as to the owner of the real property which is leased. Any other taxable leasehold estate shall be valued at a fair cash value of the leasehold interest. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 981. All in favor 'aye',



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. 995."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 995, Capparelli. A Bill for an Act to Impounding and Disposition of Stray Animal Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. 1007."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1007, Hart. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1007..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment removes labor unions from the Bill. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1007. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. 1027."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1027, Madigan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. 1049."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1049, Shea. A Bill for an Act relating to abortions. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the floor."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Kucharski. Amends Senate Bill 1049..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kucharski."

Kucharski: "Leave, leave to table Amendment #1."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. The table, the Gentleman's motion is successful and the Amendments are, is tabled. Now are there further Amendments. The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1050, Day. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1051, Day. A Bill for an Act relating to Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Centers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Matijevich. Amends Senate Bill 1051 on page 4, line 33 by inserting after..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, I have two ah...

Amendments to House, er... Senate Bill 1051 and I want the Membership to know that these Amendments I want the Membership to completely divorce the issue of these Amendments from the abortion issue. Ah... I think, however, as long as we are ah... establishing Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Centers that we ought to proceed properly. Now Amendment #1 under Section 6, ah... we include the authority to issue provisional licenses for Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Centers which do not comply with provisions of the Act provided that the Director finds that such Center will undertake changes and corrections to comply. What my Amendment does, it specifies that the period for the provisional license shall not exceed one year and such license may be renewed only once and I think this is proper if we are going to have provisional licenses, let's put a limit ah... on the date, how long the provisional license shall be for and allow only one extension of that provisional license. In other words, ah... a year and one extension gives enough time for someone to comply with the standards set up by the Department and I think it's a good Amendment since we are establishing these new ambulatory facilities. I move the adoption of Senate, ah... Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1051."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Amendment primarily because this is a very important piece of legislation. There are abortion clinics now operating in this State. They are advertising in the newspapers. It is of the utmost importance that the Legislature enact a Bill licensing these facilities. I am afraid that if this Bill goes back to the Senate,



it may get lost in the shuffle and we may not have any licensing authority on the part of the State of Illinois if this Bill is not adopted. Now the present Bill in its present form does permit, does permit the issuance of a provisional license if the problem is a minor one and if the Department finds that the health and safety of the patients will be protected during the period for which the provisional license is granted. Ordinarily I would have no objection to this Amendment. I think there is some merit to it, but I do not think it is important enough to take the chance on losing this Bill altogether. The risk is too great at this stage in the Legislative Session and for these reasons, I would urge the Members of the House to reject this Amendment and to vote red."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce who is in Mr. Choate's seat."

Pierce: "Ah... Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question?"

Miller: "Proceed, sir."

Pierce: "Representative Matijevich, has the Department of Public Health taken a stand in favor of this Amendment?"

Matijevich: "I really don't know. I've had the Amendment ah... published for some time and I really don't know what stand they take ah... I brought up this Amendment after discussing with the Democratic staff of the Human Resources Committee ah... both his feeling and my feeling that as long as we are ah... setting up new facilities and we provide for a provisional license that we give them a certain period of time and..."

Pierce: "You've answered my question. I know the purpose of the Bill and I agree with Representative Day that the purpose may be all right, but it would seem to me that rather than just discussing with the staff, ah... at this late date, it maybe should have been discussed with the Department of Public Health to determine their position on the Amendment and in absence and just speaking for myself in absence of their approval, ah... of the Amendment, I tend to agree with Representative Day that it's a little late now to try to amend such a serious matter without having cleared



it with the Department of Public Health which is advancing these Bills from the Senate to ah... to begin with. So ah... speaking only for myself, ah... I intend to oppose the Amendment because it hasn't been cleared with the sponsoring Department of this legislation."

Matijevich: "Well, I can answer that now. I talked to the Members of staff who I worked this with ah... and they, the Department of Public Health thinks it's a good Amendment. They want no Amendment because as Representative Day said that they think maybe the Bill would be in trouble. My position is and I had no ah... I wasn't aware of the Department of Public Health felt. They get paid enough to look at all the legislation as far as I'm concerned. I don't have to run around looking for them, but ah... ah... my position is that it's a good Amendment and I think Amendment #2 is good and I think it strengthens the Bill and ah... for that reason, I would ask the adoption of Amendment #2. The Department of Public Health thinks it's a good Amendment. I can't see the Senate not concurring with an Amendment that is a good Amendment. I really think that they would support it."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this is a good Amendment as far as provisional licensing is concerned not to exceed a year. I think it, it tightens up the ah... the standards, the regulations, the rules that they have to work under and I would be for this Amendment."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B.B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure that our colleague was motivated ah... with good intent on this particular Amendment, but I was informed yesterday ah... by Representatives of the Department of Public Health that they don't even intend to go beyond 60 days with a provisional license under their rules. They just want time enough to make a personal inspection of each facility ah... under its temporary license and then to determine whether or not that facility is complying with its presents rules and regulations and then either terminate the provisional license or if they qualify, give them a permanent license."



So in reality, the Amendment is really not necessary at this time. If we feel at a later date that the Department is not ah... utilizing its best investigative procedures, we can ah... introduce legislation to correct that defect and I would oppose the Amendment at this time for that reason among some of the other reasons stated by our colleagues."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. R.L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Well, I'd like to ask a question of the Sponsor and if I may, I'd really like to query, kind of, my question is addressed to this Bill and also 1049 and 1050 ah... that did advance. There are three related Bills. First of all, ah... has there been a change in Sponsorship in the Senate, Mr. Day?"

Miller: "Mr. Day, from Peoria, care to answer."

Day: "Well, the ah... the Sponsorship of this Bill is ah... in the Senate to my knowledge is indicated by the Calendar. Ah... I think that ah... Senator Wooten did have a important part in ah... advancing this Bill in the Senate, but ah... as I look at it now, it appears to be Sponsored by Senator Rock."

Dunne: "Well, I think that's probably a significant, very significant factor that a lot of the Members of this House will realize that this ah... these Bills while they were originated and steered through the House by Senator Wooten, ah... they now have the Sponsorship of Senator Rock. I have one more question with respect to this Bill. Ah... Does it have any ah... restriction now in the Bill with respect to ah... a time lag from when a woman ah... is interviewed or first examined for an abortion and when the actual abortion is performed?"

Miller: "Ah... Points of order are being raised, the question now is on Amendment #1."

Dunne: "Well, my point, Mr. Speaker, is that I, without having an opportunity to examine this Bill I am concerned about it getting to Third without being in the shape at least I'd like to see it in. So if, if, if you'd allow that question to be answered, I'd appreciate it."

Miller: "Mr. Matijevich care to answer. Perfectly agreeable with the Chair."



Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, I was going to raise the point of order that he wasn't speaking to the Amendment. Now I have no control, I'm just trying to adopt two Amendments which I think are good for the Bill. I have no control over the Bill. You'd have to discuss that with the Representative Day."

Miller: "Go ahead, Mr. Dunne."

Dunne: "May I have the leave, though, to after this Amendment is, is discussed and voted up or down before the Bill is advanced, could I perhaps have that question answered. Would that be in order?"

Miller: "Well, the Chair is of the opinion that the type of thing that you're discussing is something that would normally be discussed when the Bill is on Third Reading, Mr. Dunne, not on the discussing of Amendment 1. All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "I'll say only quickly that under the Act as drafted here, we call for provisional licenses with no limitation as to time and all I'm trying to do is put a limitation and I think that's good. I know the Department of Mental ah... the Department of Public Health now supports that except they're worried about whether the Bill is passed. I think if you're trying to pass a Bill, pass a good one. I ask for your adoption Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1051."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Lake has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nays' appear to have it. All right and the Gentleman's ah... ah... Amendment #1 fails. It's not adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Matijevich. Amends Senate Bill 1051 on page 8 by deleting all of line..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, in the interest of time ah... I think I know what would happen. I'll tell the Membership what I wanted to do with Amendment #2. ah... I wanted the State, the Comprehensive State Health Planning Agency to review and comment ah... on the facilities that may be established ah... so that they at least come within the comprehensive state health plan. I think this is good. I don't want to take up the time of the House because I know



what will happen, but I think that after this becomes law, that both Amendment #1 and Amendment #2 ah... ought to be ah... implemented through new legislation. So I will withdraw Amendment #2."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves that Amendment #2 be tabled. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #3, Douglas. Amends Senate Bill 1051 on page 4, line 33 and so forth."

Miller: "Mr. Douglas moves that Amendment #3 be tabled. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading. Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1082. An Act authorizing the State of Illinois to pay specified sums to local units of government for costs incurred and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendments. Amends Senate Bill 1082 on page 1, line 18 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill that originally would of provided for cities, towns, and villages ah... a sum equal to one half of the cost of the acquisition and planning of a site for landfill ah... to be paid from the Environmental Protection Agency. This Amendment was offered, adopted in Committee which would provide at least an amount, an amount equal to at least one half ah... because the Environmental Protection Agency doesn't want it limited to 50% but feels they may be able to go as high as 75%, so I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves for immediate consideration and adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Tipsword. Amends Senate Bill 1082 on page 1..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "This is an Amendment that I, I indicated to the Committee that I was going to prepare. This would extend the application of this Act not just as it is now to cities, towns, and villages, but



to metro regions, counties, and townships throughout the State of Illinois and in addition to providing for the planning ah... and acquisition of landfill sites, it would also provide the same money for the acquisition of sites for any other kind and planning for any other kind of solid waste disposal. It would no longer be limited to landfill, so it would include all other kinds and types of ah... solid waste disposal. Ah... I move the adoption of this broadening Amendment."

Miller: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1100. An Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1133. An Act to revise the law in relation to divorce. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Just a minute. Amendment #1, Rayson. Amends Senate Bill 1133 on line 9 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in Committee, there's a couple of Gentlemen that raised a question about this Bill. The purposes of clarifying makes sure there's some semblance of uniformity in divorce of all cases so that at least the complainant would testify and that the judge would be satisfied that it's fully proven. I move the adoption of this Amendment which in effect is tantamount to being a Committee Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, whoops... The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1145."



Miller: "The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading. Just a moment. Amendment was adopted. Amendment #1 and it's advanced to the order of Third Reading. Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1145. An Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment, er... no Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Merlo. Amends Senate Bill 1145 page 6 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, at the recommendation of the Illinois Public Employees Pension Laws Commission, the Amendment places back into the Bill the word 'refund' and ah... places it at the same category as annuities and pensions which exempts them from attachments or garnishee processes and I move the adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Miller: "Is there disucssion? The Gentleman moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1162. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of State Property Insurance Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1173. Appropriation for the expense of Judicial System ah... Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amemdment amends Senate Bill 117 on page 1, line 19 and so forth."

Miller: "Ah... Who is handling this for Mr. Shea? Mr. Lechowicz or Mr. McPartlin with respect to Committee Amendment #1."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 is a corrective Amendment. It reduces the appropriation by \$48,409. It was an agreed Amendment in Committee and I move for its adoption."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves for the immediate



consideration and adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Rigney. Amends Senate Bill 1173 on page 5 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment eliminates the paragraph in the Bill that provides that 25% of the court costs will be paid from the Road Fund. I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from ah... Cook, Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to know are these Amendments printed and on our desks? We keep running back and forth to pick these things up ah... I thought they were supposed to be printed and on our desks 24 hours."

Miller: "The Clerk informs me they have been on the desks. Mr. McPartlin care to proceed?"

McPartlin: "Well, I'd like to have him explain the Amendment again. If I'm right or wrong, ah... did you say that this takes the paragraph out where 25% of this money comes ah... from the Road Fund."

Rigney: "From the Road Fund, that is correct."

McPartlin: "Well, I'd have to oppose this Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Miller: "He indicates he will, proceed."

Leinenweber: "Where does the fine money come from that's collected from Judicial proceedings for violations of Motor Vehicle Code in the overweight goes, do you know?"

Rigney: "I believe, Mr. Leinenweber, that that stays within the county."

Leinenweber: "What about state tickets? I think if you check the State statutes, it goes into the Road Fund. Now, Mr. Speaker, to address this Amendment, if I might."

Miller: "You may proceed."

Leinenweber: "I certainly oppose this Amendment because of the fact that ah... the Judicial system in Illinois court proceedings in portions of the Motor Vehicle Code and the overweight ah... provisions



of the Motor Vehicle Code ah... returns vast sums of money to the Road Fund. Now those ah... violations which ah... occur within a municipality goes into the municipalities Road Fund. Those which occur on State roads go into the State Road Fund. So certainly, it appears to me to be reasonable that a certain portion of the Road Fund be used to ah... defray the cost of enforcing the Motor Vehicle Code. I certainly think that this is a proper expenditure of the Road Fund because of the vast returns it receives. I think it's only fair, Mr. Speaker, and I'd ask for a ah... 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Leinenweber, is absolutely correct as far as his opposition to this Amendment. In fact, if the Sponsor of this Amendment would check and see exactly how much money is derived through the court system in reference to the prosecution and violations of State, State charges, the money is more than enough to cover the 25% that is asked for in this Bill. The 25% as far as the money for the Road Fund for the administration and the use of the court system in order to prosecute, in order to have the judges hear court violations in reference to traffic citations, I would strongly recommend that the Members of the General Assembly help defeat this Amendment. It's a very bad precedent. It deserves a 'no' vote."

Miller: "The Gentleman from McHenry, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I think with the Bill that we passed out of this House that allows for gross loads to be able, allows fines to be made on gross loads rather than loads on axles supposedly to allow for ah... for temporary shift loading, that the fines are going to down. So certainly if ah... if the fines amounted to 25% of the cost before, I wouldn't expect it to after this Bill gets signed. I think this Bill ought to be passed."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Chairman, is the Sponsor of the Bill... Could I ask him a question?"



Miller: "Proceed."

Ryan: "Are you the Sponsor of the Bill? I want to know where, where you came up with the 25% figure. Was there a study made on that to determine that figure. How did you come about taking 25% out of the Road Fund and has that been the policy in the past? And if not, where's the money come from?"

Miller: "Ah... Can Mr. Lechowicz answer the question? The Sponsor of the Bill isn't on the floor?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Miller: "Proceed."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In answer to Representative Ryan's question, that has been the policy in the past. It has a recommendation of the Divisional System and in turn, what we're doing, in fact, I think if you would review it, it could be a greater percentage at the present time coming out from the Road Fund. So, you haven't made a recommendation in that area. They haven't changed as far as the percentage coming from the Road Fund. I think this Amendment should be defeated because in turn if this money doesn't come from the Road Fund, it comes from the General Revenue Fund. While in turn we're talking about items that are ah... being discussed as far as traffic violations and in turn, that money should come from the Road Fund."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Lechowicz, as... you say there's been a study made as to the district, to come about the figure 25%?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir."

Ryan: "When was the study made, sir?"

Lechowicz: "The study that I know was made was in 1960 and that's when they made the recommendation of 25%."

Ryan: "I see, 14 years ago. What has been the policy of, of ah... Why, why, why this Bill now? Where have we been gettin' this money from before?"

Lechowicz: "From the Road Fund."

Ryan: "Well, then what are you... Are you increasing the percentage?"

Lechowicz: "No, sir. It remains the remains the same."

Ryan: "Now if this Amendment is adopted, this doesn't mean that the judges are going to lose ah... 25% of their pay, does it?"



Lechowicz: "No, we're talking more than just judges pay, I'm sorry to say. We're talking about the administration of the courts themselves. This is really a very bad Amendment."

Ryan: "Well what I'm saying then is that if this Amendment is adopted, the money then will come from General Revenue, is that right?"

Lechowicz: "Well, it should revert back to General Revenue."

Ryan: "Well, I think that's where it should come from and I would move the adoption of the Amendment."

Lechowicz: "Well, if that's the case, we'll have to have an Amendment to prepare 50% from General Rev... ah... Road Fund."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Rigney, to close the debate."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this practice apparently started at the time that we went into the new Judicial system here in the State of Illinois and we did not at that time have the, the so-called Ogilvie income tax. We did not have any money to pay the judges with at that time or the cost of court and it was necessary at that time ah... for the General Assembly to look around as to where they might get the money and they justified it on the basis of, that ah... we could logically take 25% out of the Road Fund for this purpose. I might just point out to you that there's not one other State in the Union that is using this system of compensating judges. In fact, there are only three States in the Union that even commingle the Road Funds with their General Revenue Funds. There are twenty-eight States that have a specific, constitutional Amendment to prevent any activity of this kind. Now I just might point out for the record that since the year 1968, our road moneys in this State have increased by 99%, but our General Revenue Fund has increased by 199%. It certainly suggests to me that ah... we're in much better shape as far as the General Revenue Fund is concerned than we are with the Road Fund and I suggest to you that what we're talking about in these two Bills, 1173 and 1174, will make a seven and a half million dollar difference in our Road Fund moneys and if we do adopt the Amendment to 1173 and also to 1174, maybe we'll be able to get to Quincy after all. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration



and adoption of Amendment #1 ah... to Senate Bill 1173. All those in favor say 'aye', do five Members demand a Roll Call? All right, we can have a Roll Call. All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Amendment #2? I'm sorry, it's Amendment #2. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Turn on Mr. Lauer's switch someone. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff, to explain his vote."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm very sorry to see all those red lights up there. As Representative Rigney brought here, ah... Illinois is one of the practically only States that uses part of their Motor Fuel Tax money for ah... salary such as the judges. That's one of the problems we have in the State of Illinois even though we do have one of the larger taxes ah... A big percent of our money does not go for roads and most all the other States and many of them have Constitutional Amendments on it that they must go for roads and no place else, and therefore, when we go into the other States, surrounding States, we do find better roads with the tax ah... approximately the same as ours or a little less. This is one of the reasons why the Road Fund doesn't have the money and why we don't get roads down-scate in many areas and still have roads at 40 and 50 years old to drive over, 16 and 18 foot pavements. Therefore, I can't understand why this money shouldn't come out where it, where this Amendment will put it, out of the General Revenue where it belongs to come out. It should never have come out of the ah... Motor Fuel Tax."

Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 31 'ayes' and 81 'ni... 81 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion for Amendment #2 is lost. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading. Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1174. An Act to make an appropriation to pay certain officers of the Judicial system. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1174 page 1, line 23 and so forth."



Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment reduces the budget that was recommended to the Appropriations by \$446,000, \$446,500 on the Associate Judges minus \$779,448 on the total difference in the reduction. Reduces the shorthand reporters and numerous other things and the total is minus \$779,448. I recommend this Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the Gentleman has moved the immediate consideration and adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Rigney. Amends Senate Bill 1174 on page 2 and so forth."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Well Mr. Speaker, I got the message on the last Amendment. I'm not going to take up the time of the House by introducing this one. I'm just sorry we're not going to get to Quincy after all."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "House, House Bill 1183, Senate Bill 1183. We got any Amendments on this one? An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1194. Senate Bill 1194. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 1194 page 2 by striking 945,000 and inserting in lieu thereof 705, page 2, line 13 by striking 940 and inserting in lieu thereof 705."

Miller: "All right, the Chair will recognize ah... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, with respect to Committee Amendment #1."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

is a reduction of ah... about \$150,000 from the appropriation for the General Assembly and I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 1194 and so forth."

Miller: "All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, with respect to Committee Amendment #2."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an increase of \$50,000 in that appropriation and other place and I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask the Majority Leader a question? Do any of these Amendments have to do with getting us the same ratio between Legislators and secretaries that the Senate has?"

W.D. Walsh: "No, they do not."

Miller: "Mr. Lechowicz care to comment?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir, Mr. Speaker. Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 rectifies that situation."

W.D. Walsh: "Oh, does it?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir, Mr. Majority Leader."

Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman from ah... Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Miller: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Majority Leader, by one Amendment we reduced and by another Amendment we increased. I don't have a copy of the Bill here. Are we talking about different line items?"

Miller: "All right, the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In reference to Amendment #1, what we did is as we've done in the past line item out the Appropriation Committee's staff and that caused a reduction in the total appropriation as it was shown on one line item. The appropriation dollar



amount is exactly the same and was presented initially in the Bill and we've allocated moneys for the Republican-Democratic staff Appropriations Committee as reflected in the previous Bills. Amendment #2 is a \$50,000 increase to have the necessary resource in order to pay our secretaries the same as the Senate."

Miller: "Mr. Skinner, the Gentleman from McHenry, care to be recognized further now?"

Skinner: "Yes, apparently my question was misunderstood. I was asking about the ratio of Legislative secretaries. Will we still have only one secretary for every three Legislators under this Amendment, but they will just be higher paid."

Miller: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "The pay would be the same, ah... the ratio remains the same."

Skinner: "Will they have to pass tests that are equivalent to the, the Clerk-Steno designation for the State Department, well, for State government in order to receive these salaries or will they be automatic increases?"

Lechowicz: "Well, that's the policy on the Democratic side and I think it's for our own self-protection. I don't know what the policy is on the Republican side. I think it's the same."

Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1195. An Act making an appropriation to furnish in the Legislative staff secretarial, clerical, technical, and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 152. Amends the State Finance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 210. Ah... Amends the Public Junior College



Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends Senate Bill 210, page 1 by deleting line 24 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 210 is a permissive Bill permitting local Junior College Boards to require physical education as a prerequisite for certification ah... The Amendment merely provides and I think it's a good Amendment that any physical education ah... courses be made equally available and to be required by females as well as males and I certainly would urge that this motion, this ah... Amendment be adopted."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading "

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 213. Appropriation to Community Unit School District #2 in Williamson County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 3... no, no. Senate Bill 390. Amends the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading."

(con't on next page)



Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 419, an Act in relation to Grand Juries with State-wide jurisdiction. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1, Lundy, amend Senate Bill 419 on Page 1 by deleting Lines 9 through 15, and so forth."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

J. R. Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've spoken to the House Sponsor about this Amendment. And ah..., after reaching agreement with him, I move to table the Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. The gentleman moves to table Amendment No. 1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric L. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2, Beaupre, amend Senate Bill 419 on Page 1, Lines 17 and 18, and so forth."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Mr. Beaupre.... Mr. Beaupre on the Floor? Gentleman from ah.. Lake, Mr. Pierce."

D. M. Pierce: "Mr. Spea... Mr. Speak...."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Here's.... Here's Mr. Beaupre....."

D. M. Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, these Amendments are not on the Members' desks."

J. R. Beaupre: "Mr. Spea... Mr. Speaker, can we take that out of the record for a minute?"

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Well, no. Just a moment. We... We've



We've called this Amendment. A question has been raised here and we're just checking that out right at the moment. These Amendments have all been printed, I'm informed. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin."

R. F. McPartlin: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, we're still working on this Bill. If ah.. you could go along with the Sponsor's request, we would appreciate it. Just to take it out for a little while."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Well now, Mr. Day is the Sponsor of this Bill. And ah..., if Mr. Day has any comment, we'll... I'll be glad to recognize Mr. Day. Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Mr. Speaker, I... in view of the fact that we are pressed for time, ah... in view of the importance of the Bill, ah.. I think, that we should proceed with the Bill on Second Reading at this time."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright ah.., the records show that the Amendments have... are on the Members' desks. So, the Chair will recognize Mr. Beaupre, ah.. the Sponsor of Amendment No. 2."

J. R. Beaupre: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and ah.. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment No. 2 ah.. merely says that in cases where ah.. the Act ah.. is applied and the Grand Juries are formed on a State-wide basis, that ah.. the option may be made to limit that Jury or the Members of that Jury to a limited basis of two or three Counties. Ah.. I know of no opposition to the Amendment. I think, it makes it more... makes the Bill more palatable in that ah.. we.. we don't



have to ah.. bring in a State-wide Grand Jury. And, I would ah.. move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. The gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Now, discussion? Gentleman from ah.. Peoria, Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I think, this is Amendment No. 1. Is that right?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2 by Beaupre."

R. G. Day: "I see. Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would oppose this Amendment which attempts to require the Court to limit to a designated specified area the extent of a Grand Jury investigation for the purpose of investigating, prosecuting organized crime which extends over a county line and to require that such an investigation be limited to a specified territory would to a large extent defeat the purpose of this Bill. Because the investigation itself may indicate that there are areas involved which ah.. were not known at the time the investigation was requested. And ah.., it just seems to me, ah.. this Bill would to a large extent ah.. destroy the effectiveness.... This Amendment would destroy the effectiveness of this Bill. And, I would urge you to vote against the Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright now, just a moment. Ah.. I saw first the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin."

R. F. McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that there's nine Amendments on this Bill and none of them are printed. So, I ah... Just why can't he take it out of the record for a little



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

while so that we can take a look at this Bill and these Amendments?"

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. I ah... I'm informed that we're ready to proceed. And ah..., Mr. Beaupre, the Gentleman from Kankakee, was about ready to offer Amendment No. 2. Now, the Chair will recognize Mr. Beaupre."

J. R. Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, there may be some confusion here. We... The copies of the Amendment that we have ah... indicate that this is Amendment No. 1. Ah.. I don't really care how it's numbered as long as we know what we're dealing with."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Ah.. Perhaps, I can straighten this out from the information I received. The Amendment No. 1, which was tabled. Perhaps, the Members have some Bills that are numbered one through eight or whatever the number is. I'm informed that those numbers are probably incorrect, that just drop them down one. So, on your record, what was Number 1 is actually Number 2 on the Clerk's Record. And what is actually Number 2 on yours is actually Number 3 on the Clerk's Record. Now, if you'd like so to be sure, we can have the Clerk read the first three or four lines of Amendment No. 2 as he has it and you can compare it and then we'll be... so we have no misunderstanding. Mr. Clerk, would you read the first two or three Lines of Amendment No. 2?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2, amends Senate Bill 419 on Page 1, Lines 17 and 18 by deleting State-wide Jurisdiction and inserting in lieu thereof: Territorial....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright....."



Fredric B. Selcke: "Jurisdiction as may be provided in....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright... Alright, Mr. Clerk. Is there any question now, Mr. Beaupre?"

J. R. Beaupre: "No. I believe, that we're dealing with the..."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Al... Alright... You may proceed."

J. R. Beaupre: "Basically, this is a rather simple proposition.

All we're suggesting by this Amendment is that rather than impanelling a Grand Jury on a State-wide basis, that the selection, that is to say that the Jury Members to be impanelled may, through this Amendment, be selected on a territorial basis of something less than that, possibly three or four Counties. I think, that this is beneficial in that ah.. rather than having to draw names ah.. on a State-wide basis to select jurors, that ah.. in cases which deal with only territorial problems, that Jury can be impanelled on a limited territorial basis. This is also beneficial, I think, to ah.. witnesses who might appear before that Grand Jury in that we can limit travel and expenses, and so forth. And, I would move for the adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment because I feel that the people of this State, and I think you'll agree with me, want to see an all-out effort made to combat organized crime within the State of Illinois. Now, this Amendment would



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

attempt to throw roadblocks in the way of the Attorney General by requiring him to specify a limited territory within which this Grand Jury could operate. The purpose of a Grand Jury such as this is to investigate. And, if that investigation indicates that there is part of this criminal activity outside of the Area which has been specified as the 'territory', whatever that means, then he would be precluded from carrying on his investigations to the outside territory. I think that this Amendment would to a large extent destroy the effectiveness of this Bill. And, I would urge all Members to vote against this Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. Is there further discussion? Alright.. The question is,..... I'm sorry, the Gentleman has... You want to close, Mr. Beaupre?"

J. R. Beaupre: "I would ah.. merely like to say in closing, Mr. Speaker, ah.. that what we're talking about here is ah.. giving a... the Judge, who impanels the Jury, the opportunity to decide whether or not that Grand Jury is going to be dealing with State-wide problems or merely Territorial or reg... Territorial or Regional problems. And... And ah.., if the later is the case, ah.. limiting the expense involved, there's nothing in here to indicate that ah.. the Grand Jury on a State-wide basis prohibited. And ah.., for that reason, I would ask for your support."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment No. 2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'nos'



have it and the Amendment is lost. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 3, Stone, amends Senate Bill 419 on Page 1 by striking Lines 12 through 15 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: County He may file a petition....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

P. Stone: "Yes, Mr.... Mr. Speaker. And, I ask now for ah.. time to ask for a Roll Call vote, if you decide the 'no's' prevail on this Amendment. This Amendment is not meant to kill this Bill. It is merely meant to strengthen it so many of us would be able to vote for the Bill in its final form. Now, this Bill provides for a State-wide Grand Jury and it presently provides that the petition may be filed in a Circuit Court. This Amendment merely provides that the petition setting forth the subject of the proposed investigation or charges shall be filed with a Judge of the Supreme Court elected or appointed from the Court District in which the majority of the alleged acts or transactions took place. Now, as I say, this Bill was not... is not meant to... to harm the Bill in any way but to make it more palatable to ah.. those of us who are having a few problems in voting for the Bill. I might add that this is supposed to be a State-wide Grand Jury. And, if we're going to have a State-wide Grand Jury, it seems to me, that we should make it State-wide and have it impanelled by a Court that is State-wide. And, we only have one State-wide Court and that is the



Supreme Court. Now, there's one other thing that, I think, even those who... who are strongly for this Bill should realize and that is that... that this Bill, I'm sure, is not intended to be a political sort of thing. But, those of us who.... many of us who live Downstate say that the Courts in Cook County are political. So, with ah.... with certain Attorney Generals that we may have in the future, you could have a political witch-hunt with a political Circuit Judge in Chicago or Downstate for that matter and a political Attorney General. I believe, that this Bill will strengthen the Bill so that many of us could vote for it. And, I urge its adoption."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright... Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

L. H. Rayson: "Well, I would just like to briefly say, Mr. Speaker and to Members of the House that ah... that I rise in opposition to this Amendment. I... I see no ah.. persuasive reason why we should burden ah.. Supreme Court Justice for this application and have a subjective question of... of where does this area of crime lie as to know which Judge is supposed to come to. You know, we have a lot of cases in Illinois, a lot of cases on review which concern themselves with... with ah... ah... interpretations of the Law with regard to Defendants' rights in criminal matters or Constitutional questions. And, I would think, that this kind of thing might vitiate their process by suggesting an initial justice, may have to impanel a Grand Jury and later on ah... ah...



appeal ah.. some years hence may have to be the deciding justice to decide a very visceral question regarding appeal. I suggest that it would distort the process if this Amendment were to stick in this Bill."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the... the Supreme Court is a Judicial Body which is a Court of last resort in this State. And, it seems to me, that this Amendment would place a burden, an Administrative burden on a Supreme Court Justice. The other objection to it is that we would be limiting to one Judge the authority to impanel such a Grand Jury. If he's in Springfield, if he's on vacation, it wouldn't be possible to impanel such a Grand Jury. The Bill, in its present form, authorizes the presiding Judge of any Circuit or an Appellate Court Judge to sign such an order. Now, the signing of an order, impanelling a Grand Jury is really an Administrative function. Judges at the local level know how to do this. They do it all of the time. They know how to impanel a Grand Jury. Supreme Court Judges do not. And, I think, that ah.. this Bill would... would be another roadblock. I think, that it would... It would place limitations on the ah.. effective administration of this Act. And, I would urge you to oppose this Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Is there further discussion? Alright.. The Gentleman from



Moultrie, Mr. Stone, to close the debate."

P. Stone: "Now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, the Gentleman says that this would cause additional administrative problems for the Supreme Court. I can't see that the impanelling of two or three or half a dozen Grand Juries by one Judge of the Supreme Court is going to be any great administrative problem. Of course, they could do it without any problem. If you'll read the Act on Page 2, Line... starting at Line 6, 'The Supreme Court may promulgate such Rules and Regulations as it deems necessary'. The Supreme Court is already charged with the... with the obligation of promulgating Rules and so forth. And, the fact that they're in charge or ah.. one Member of the Supreme Court would be in charge of impanelling a Grand Jury now and then would be no great administrative problem at all. Now, in the next Section, the Director of the Admini.... the Administrative Office of the Illinois Court is charged with ah... preparing a list of prospective jurors. Now, this would be absolutely no problem to any Supreme Court Judge. The Supreme Court Judge of the District in which most of the alleged offenses took place would be the one that would impanel the Jury. It would be no great problem. There are three in Cook County, three Supreme Court Judges. They're all capable and I'm sure that this would be much more satisfactory to a Republican, for example, than having a Democrat Attorney General choosing a Democrat Judge from Cook County to impanel a Grand Jury. I think, that we should look to the future and very definitely



we should adopt this Amendment to keep it out of politics and keep it on a State-wide basis as ah.. it is intended to be. This is a good Amendment and we should vote 'yes'."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment No. 3. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'no's'..... Five Members demand a Roll Call? Alright.. You shall have a Roll Call. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment No. 3 will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Record Mr. Skinner as 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Hanahan wants to vote 'aye'. No? Giorgi.. 'aye'. On this question, there are 46 'Ayes'.... Is that right Mr. Clerk? 45 'Ayes', and 87 'Nays' and the Gentleman's motion is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 4, D. Houlihan, amends Senate Bill 419 on Page 1 by inserting after Line 27 the following: Petition filed....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. Houlihan."

D. L. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment No. 3 provides that a....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Just a minute. It's Amendment No. 4, isn't it, Mr. Clerk?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Yes, Sir. Amendment No. 4. I just read ah.. the first four lines."

D. L. Houlihan: "Amendment No. 4 provides that a petition to



the Attorney General, filed under this Section, shall include as part of the petition proof of service of the petition in each of the States Attorneys of the State. Additionally, it provides that any States Attorney may file a counter petition in opposition to the impanelling of the State Grand Jury. Each States Attorney is the proper... proper... or proper prosecuting officer in the County that he represents. And particularly, where we are considering ah... where the presiding Judge ah.. is considering the question of impanelling a State-wide Grand Jury, he should have available to him all relevant information in making his decision. If a particular States Attorney should feel that a State-wide Grand Jury would hamper or impair a pending investigation, either being conducted by ah.. the Office or a Grand Jury in a particular County, that information should be made available to the presiding Judge. This is all that the Amendment does. It does not affect ah.. the discretions nor does it affect any of the standards to be considered by the presiding Judge in determining whether or not he shall impanel a State-wide Grand Jury. And, I ask your adoption of the Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

L. H. Rayson: "Ah.. well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I learned today that Senator Lowell Wyker was intimidated very severely. And, if it weren't for that factor, I would suggest that this Amendment might be frivolous. But, it's



not frivolous because it suggests that a States Attorney could well fort any kind of endeavor to set up State impaneling. And, I suggest that that is not the way to go. And, that this Amendment is... is a real attempt to gut this Bill. So, I suggest that we oppose this Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you read this Bill and you understand the concept embodied in this Bill, I think, that you'll agree that there is nothing to be gained by requiring the notice that is called for by Amendment No. 4. The safeguard here is that the Attorney General must convince the Judge that such a Grand Jury should be impanelled. This is the safeguard. Now, this Bill deals only with multi-county crimes and I don't think that we want to have the States Attorney of one County put in a position where he could defeat a Grand Jury investigating crimes that extend over multi-county lines into other Counties. If the Court feels that it's proper in any given case to call in the States Attorney, he can certainly have the privilege and the right to do that and to consult with him about it. But, the more people that are brought into this thing, the more publicity that is given to it, the more roadblocks that are put in at the investigating level, the more difficult it's going to be to really do a job in this matter of combating big time organized crime. And again, I would urge all Members to oppose this Amendment."



Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

E. J. Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I just ah.. heard the last Speaker referring to organized crime. Tell me what kind of a Grand Jury would have prevented the Watergate fiasco?"

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Mr. Giorgi, he was just debating the issue here. And normally, we do not permit ah.. questions of somebody else's engaging in debate."

E. J. Giorgi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like to remind Representative Day of an instance that occurred in Rockford a half a dozen years ago where they wanted to do a political candidate in. So, they asked the Federal Judge, sitting in Freeport, to ask the Federal Prosecutor to conduct a Grand Jury. And, they trotted through about forty people to the Freeport Grand Jury and they ended up indicting three of those people for deluding whiskey. So,.... And, the... the final outcome was that all... all of those cases were dismissed. And, this is the... the import.... the... yeah.. the importance of a Grand Jury and a political Grand Jury at that."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. The Gentleman from Cook, ah... Mr. Houlihan, to close the debate."

D. L. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would suggest to the Sponsor of the Bill that he read the Amendment. This, in no way, impedes the impanelling of a State-wide Grand Jury. It simply provides... merely provides that notice shall be given to the respective States Attorneys that there is a petition being filed calling for the impanelling of



a State-wide Grand Jury. It further provides that such States Attorney, who presumably is more knowledgeable in his County than what the Attorney General would have available by way of information to him, that such States Attorney can file a petition with the Court objecting to the request for impanelment of a State-wide Grand Jury. This does affect the standards to be followed by the Judge who will make the decision as to whether he will or will not impanel. But, if there is a request for a State-wide Grand Jury and if such a State-wide Grand Jury, the timing of it would impair or impede a pending investigation in a particular County on the same subject, for example, then that fact should be brought to the attention of the presiding Judge who will be considering this question. This is all the Amendment does. It's both necessary and reasonable. And, I ask that we adopt this Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Gentleman ah.. ah.. has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment No. 4. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'no's' have it and the Gentleman's ah.. motion is lost."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. Amendment No....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Just a minute."

Fredric B. Selcke: "5, Getty, amend Senate Bill 419 on Page 1, by deleting Lines 22 and 23,....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

L. M. Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was delighted, just a couple of moments ago, to hear the



Sponsor of the Bill say that this is for prosecution and multi-county ah... situations only because that's exactly what Amendment No. 5 seeks to do. It goes towards striking a very ah... frivolous and ambiguous ah.. sentence or a portion of a sentence that says, 'one County or which have substantial affects upon the residents or Governments of more than one County'. Now pray tell, Ladies and Gentlemen, what does, which has substantial affects upon the residents or Governments of more than one County, mean? It should say, and the Bill will be much better, cleaner and, I believe, constitutionally correct if it says that where there is ah.. more than one County involved. That is the transaction or acts which are sought to be covered under the indictments sought occur in more than one County. And I certainly do urge the adoption of this which will clean up the Bill and, I think, make it one that can be constitutional."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Is there discussion? Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you will look at this Bill and the Amendment, you will find that this Amendment strikes Lines 21 through 23 and inserts three words, 'One County. Said'. Now, if you'll read... read the Amendment and the Bill, you'll find out that this just does not make sense, does not make sense. And, the... the purpose of the Bill, first of all,....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Ah.. Mr. Day, Mr. Getty wants to explain something here. And, I... the Chair will recognize



Mr. Getty."

L. M. Getty: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen and Representative Day, that has been corrected on its face to read, '22 or 23'. So, it does fit."

R. G. Day: "Well, the Amendment which I have..... A copy of the Amendment, which I have, says strike Lines 21 through 23."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Ah.. Mr. Day, the Chair is looking at the Clerk's copy of the Amendment. And, on the second line, it says by deleting Lines 22 - 23. This is the way the Clerk's copy....."

R. G. Day: "That... That clears....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Of the Amendment reads."

R. G. Day: "The matter up then. That clears the matter up.

But, it really doesn't improve the Amendment very much. The... The problem here is that it again narrows the scope, narrows the area in which this Bill could operate. And, I think, that it would hamper the effectiveness of the Bill. I think that it would place restrictions ah.. on the operation of a conscientious Attorney General who wanted to embark on a serious program of combating organized crime. I would urge that the Amendment be opposed and that it be defeated."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Alright. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, to close the debate."

L. M. Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I said before, the import of this Bill and the reason we should have a Bill like this is to permit the Attorney General



to conduct a Grand Jury investigation where the subject matter of the proposed investigation is acts or transactions occurring in more than one County. The only reason for the additional language is to possibly make it^{an} unconstitutional Bill because it's vague, or secondly, to permit an Attorney General, whether he's Democrat or Republican, to go into another County on a vague showing. And, there can't be anything more vague than saying that the subject matter could possibly affect the residents or Governments of more than one County. Now, I think, that anything or practically anything that could happen could, indeed, affect the residents or more than one County. So, I say to you, that's so vague as to make this Bill unconstitutional. And, I want to see Representative Day's Bill become constitutional. As he told you just a couple of moments ago, the import of this Bill is to make it a one or multi-county ah.. type of Grand Jury. I say to you, and I want the Downstate Democrats and Republicans especially to remember, that your own States Attorney is a States Attorney who's prosecuting crimes that occur in your County. And, I think, that we should keep it that way. We need this for multi-county and multi-county crime only. And, I ask for your adoption of this and I'm going to ask for a Roll Call."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman ah.. moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment No. 5. Alright.. We'll have a Roll Call this time at the Gentleman's request. All those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 5 will



vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 56 'Ayes' and 83 'Nays' and the Gentleman's motion is lost. Now, record Mr. Hanahan as 'aye' and Mr. Ewell as 'aye'. Alright.. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 6, Sangmeister, amends Senate Bill 419 on Page 1, Lines 23 by inserting after....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

G. E. Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr.... Thank you, Mr. Speak... Is this on? Yeah.. There we go. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as the Act is presently drawn, there is a provision in there that says that the presiding Judge may for good cause shown order this Grand Jury, etc. It further states that in making his determination as to the need for impanelling a State Grand Jury, the Judge shall require a proper showing at the subject matter of the proposed investigation, involves acts or transactions occurring in more than one County for which have substantial effects upon the residents or governments of more than one County. Now, what this Amendment proposes to do is to provide that the Attorney General has to show some need for coming into the County. As you know, the right to indict is the right to destroy. It's a very, very powerful weapon. It has been given to the respective States Attorneys in their Counties. If you're going to enlarge this and give another person in



the State of Illinois this right to indict and present to a Grand Jury evidence for indictments, I think, there ought to be good cause shown that the States Attorney in his respective County is not doing the job that he should be doing. Therefore, this Amendment simply requires that the Attorney General show to the Court that one of three situations exist. One, that the local States Attorney does not have the resources to investigate or prosecute the alleged criminal acts or transactions. If our local States Attorney can not handle it, does have the resources, there may be some Counties where this is true, well, then fine. Let the Attorney General show that to the Court and then let him go forward with his State Grand Jury investigation. Or two, let him show to the Court that the local States Attorney does not intend to investigate or prosecute the acts or transactions that are involved. In other words, if there is some organized crime in the County or ah.. other areas that the Attorney General feels is necessary for him to move in, let him show it to the Court that there's proper reason to do this. Or three, let him also show that ah... ah.. the Attorney General has... that he has requested the Attorney General to initiate a State Grand Jury. In other words, if the States Attorney says to.. to the Attorney General, 'Let's go forward in my County. I give you the authority'. Well, fine. He's been elected to do the job in the County. If he wants to turn it over to the Attorney General, let him do so. These are good Amendments. And, I say to you, preserve the



local right for the States Attorney. If the Attorney General is going to come barrelling into your County, let him show good cause why he should be there. And, I ask the adoption of this Amendment and a Roll Call."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Alright.. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

L. H. Rayson: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on first thought, this appears to be a fine Amendment and it might help the Bill along. But, there's sort of a latent kind of ah... kicker in here which suggests that it is... is ah.. once again flawed as the other Amendments have been so far. It suggests that the Attorney General must show this demonstrable need and in addition, he must also meet three points. One, that the local resources are insufficient or the local prosecutor won't or just will fluff the deal or he.. he requested the ah... the Attorney General to come in. Now, there could be a situation endemic to the State of Illinois, ah.. a mass crime or... or mass corruption that's not localized. And, unless he meets these three tests, you know, demonstrable need will mean nothing. So again, I suggest we have another flawed Amendment and should be defeated."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."

H. Washington: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Ah.. proceed, Mr. Washington."

H. Washington: "Ah.. Representative Sangmeister, ah.. Sub-



Section B or B do not intend to investigate or prosecute the acts or transactions involved. I.. I hope that I didn't catch you unaware because I didn't get a chance to talk to you prior, but just what kind of showing would you anticipate must be made to ah.. indicate to the Courts that that ah.. a prosecutor did not intend to investigate or prosecute?"

G. E. Sangmeister: "Well, he's going to have to show, I presume, all of the mechanics. I.. I can't exactly describe to you. But, I would think that, for example, if he was going to.. to prepare a case against a public official, for example, in the States Attorney's County, that he would have to show, at least, that he sat down with the States Attorney, discussed this matter with him, gave to the States Attorney some evidence of fact of why there should be a Grand Jury investigation with the possibility of an indictment resulting therefrom. He should, at least, be able to show to the Court that he has made these representations to the States Attorney and that the States Attorney does not intend to go forward and do anything about it."

H. Washington: "Well, thank you very much. In light of that interpretation, I rise in support of Amendment No. 6. It seems to me that this is the least that can be requested of a Bill which attempts to bring on to the Attorney General of the State the kind of powers which he asked for. Now, these are not three requirements that... which must be met. They're in the alternative, or, or, or. And, it seems to me... It seems to me that, if the Attorney General sincerely and



honestly wants to do a job in this area and wants to cooperate with the local States Attorneys, that the least he could do would be to sit down with that States Attorney and say, 'Listen. I have a case here.' And, give him some indication of what kind of evidence he has and the potency and cogency of that evidence. And then, if he got a negative response in moving forward, it seems to me that the Attorney General should have the right to proceed. But, without going through that motion, it seems to me that the Grand Jury Bill, as constituted, would be faulty. I think, that this is an excellent Amendment which cleans up a Bill which otherwise, I think, goes far afield. And, I urge your support."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, arise in support of this Amendment. Time and again, we've introduced several Amendments on this side of the aisle to amend this Bill to make it a very viable and a very necessary Bill instead of becoming a super agency to take over the duties of the States Attorneys of the various Counties throughout the State. This Amendment does a very important func.... serves an important function in that it stresses and puts up barriers, thereby preventing an Attorney General, no matter what his political affiliation may be, from coming in for personal vendettas or coming into a County and because of self-liquidization trying to take over the functions of a State's Attorney. If we believe in the functions of



the State's Attorney of our various counties, which have been the basis for our Constitutional system, our Grand Jury System since the founding of our country, if we desire not to destroy this system, we should adopt this Amendment. Ladies and Gentlemen, we see now in areas where the Federal Government has stepped in. Let us not forget, we have the Federal prosecutors who could serve many of these functions as we've seen in Cook County and other areas in the past two or three years. When you have a vigilant Federal District Attorney, and when you have a vigilant State's Attorney, many of these actions and this particular Bill will not be needed. However, when you don't have a vigilant State's Attorney, then this.. ah.. Attorney General can step in and make.. and ask for a Grand Jury on a State's level. Please consider this Amendment lightly and do not be willing to pull that red switch just because you may like the sponsor of this Bill or not ah.. like the sponsor of the Amendment. Please take this seriously because this is a very important Amendment to safeguard our rights on our county level. It amazes me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, why time and again we'll vote for township government, we'll vote to support ah.. local officials, yet when it comes to the most basic rights of protecting ourselves from the indictment procedures on our local county level, we throw them away by supporting a Bill like this without adequate restrictions. Please, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, think seriously about this Amendment, and vote to support it,



and make this a better Bill than it is."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Robert Holloway."

R. H. Holloway: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "He indicates he will."

R. H. Holloway: "Rep. Sangmeister, Mr. ah.. would it not be a fact that ah.. the first two ah.. sections of your Amendment would require the Attorney General to make revelations as to the ah.. nature and the area of the proposed Grand Jury ah.. investigation?"

G. E. Sangmeister: "No, there's no necessity for revelation but there's going to be a necessity on his part for an investigation first before he comes into the county."

R. H. Holloway: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, may I address myself to the ah.. to the ah.."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "You may proceed."

R. H. Holloway: "..amendment. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. if this amendment goes on the Bill, ah.. it's impossible for the Attorney General to be put to the task of justifying a proposed Grand Jury ah.. impanelment without to some extent revealing the.. area of the proposed investigation. And assuming that he ah.. is able or was able or will be able to convince ah.. the judge ah.. I'm sure that the tracks would be covered and the proposed Grand Jury investigation would be frustrated. And for that reason, Ladies and Gentlemen, I urge the defeat of this Amendment."



Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

H. Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I.. I ah.. have a question of Rep. Maragos. I.. I think I didn't quite understand him in one of his statements. I think.."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Well, no. You can go ahead and say but we.."

H. Huskey: "I.. I think I heard him say that he voted for township government and I didn't know if I understood that just right or not.."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill, as you know, was up two years ago, and since that time there has been communication between the State's Attorney Association and the Attorney General's Office, and at the Committee hearing on this Bill, no State's Attorney appeared and opposed this Bill. There is nothing in this Bill that is antagonistic to the State's Attorneys. State's Attorneys have jurisdiction to indict and prosecute any crime that occurs in their county. Ah.. no problem there. This is not antagonistic to State's Attorneys. But what this Bill says, what this amendment says is that before the judge can authorize the empaneling of the Grand Jury of this nature, the Attorney General is placed under the burden of showing that the local State's Attorney does not have the resources to investigate or prosecute the criminal acts or transactions, or he must prove that the local State's Attorney does not



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

intend to prosecute these offenses. Now, how can you do that? How can you come into court and convince the judge that someone else does not intend to do anything? This is a.. an unreasonable restraint that would be put on this Bill, and again, there is no reason why we should attempt to limit in any way ah.. a program designed to combat organized crime. Ah.. we don't want to limit it. The public doesn't want this limited. The public today wants to know why we aren't doing more in this area. And certainly, this Amendment would tend to cripple this Bill very seriously, and I would urge that you oppose the Amendment."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, to close the debate."

G. E. Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, in answer to Mr. Day's statement ah.. this Amendment does not require the Attorney General's approval of anything. The terminology that's used in the amendment is including a showing and it's only of the three propositions that I delineated and it has to be proven, not all three of them as Mr. Rayson indicated. All I could say to you on this Amendment is that this is without question a decency Amendment. If you have any respect at all for the State's Attorneys in your county, you're going to vote for this Amendment. They have been given the authority and the power to prosecute crimes in their particular county, and if somebody else is going to come bouncing in there for political purposes or otherwise, they ought to at least have the decency to show to



the judge why they are coming in there. This is a very good Amendment and will help the Bill and I ask for your vote in a roll call."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment no. 6. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Ah.. do you Gentlemen.. The 'nays' have it. Do you Gentlemen see any need for a roll call? Is there.. there's no reason, Gentlemen, if you'll pardon the Chair saying this, for a roll call under.. under that overwhelmingly 'nay' vote. So the Gentleman's motion is lost. Call the next Amendment."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment no. 7, Calvo. Amends Senate Bill 419 on page 1, line 9."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "All right. One minute, Mr. Clerk. I'm not going to allow a hullabaloo here, but I will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

G.W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, a Member offered an amendment. He was joined by five other Members asking for a roll call. I think he's entitled to that. He may get only six votes, but I think he's entitled under the rules of this House, and I'm sure as fair as you are, you will give it to him."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Mr. Shea, I agree with you, Sir. That's what the rules provide. I'm trying to hurry this along a little bit so we don't have to be here all night, but if the Gentleman persists in a roll call, he shall have it. OK. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment no. 6 will vote 'aye', and those opposed



111.

will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 55 'ayes' and 82 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion is lost. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #7, Calvo. Amends Senate Bill 419 on page 1, line 9 by adding..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel that the Sponsor of this Bill has a good idea and in connection with that, I would like to strengthen his Bill and make it a better Bill and make it a better idea to work the way it should work in the counties in our state. I would point out that what my Amendment does is allow the State's Attorney of a county to petition the Chief Judge of his circuit for a State Grand Jury to meet in his county. Now he can't do it just, you know, he has alleged adequate resources in his county either financially or the resource of his office to handle the problem he has. The Attorney General, if he wishes, may oppose the petition and then the Judge can determine whether or not the State Grand Jury should be empaneled in that county. If this is done and the Attorney General takes jurisdiction over the investigation and prosecution of the case. Now I would point out to you that there are many counties in my ah... district or the area near me that needs, that would need this type of an Amendment. For instance, in ah... in the case of a, which we've had, a Menard Prison riot, the facilities, resources, and the manpower of the State's Attorney is just not sufficient to handle that circumstance as it should be handled. Either the investigation or prosecution. It would be very necessary and a very good adjunct to be able for that State's Attorney to empanel a State Grand Jury and have the greater resources and assistance of the Attorney General's Office in assisting him or in taking over the prosecution of such a crime. In addition to that, in case of a major financial crime in any county in southern Illinois, it would be to the advantage of the prosecution of that crime to be able to have it before a State Grand Jury and to be able to have the prosecution by the Attorney General's Office because again the State's Attorney does not have the financial resources, the investigative authority or people, or the people, the Attorneys to be able to handle things of this nature. This is also true, of course, in case of mass murders in these counties.



I feel this is a good Amendment, will strengthen this Bill and will do something that should be done when we adopt this State Grand Jury system. In the event this Amendment is adopted, I think we're going, can have a strong State Grand Jury and I would be able to support it. I would ask for the immediate adoption of this Amendment and ask your favorable vote."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on reading of this ah... Amendment ah... it's rather ingenious because it suggests a subjective judgement of a State's Attorney and maybe determine when and where a State Grand Jury might be empaneled and it suggests maybe that he would give notice and he would say I can't handle it, fellows, ah... even though it's limited to one county. It just doesn't seem right that ah... that the shift from this thrust ah... should be born ah... mainly by the subjective wisdom of the State's Attorney. So I suggest it's a flawed Amendment."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a good idea that Representative Calvo has here, but I don't think it's proper to include it in this Bill. I don't think it's proper because ah... it brings in an entirely new concept that affects the State's Attorney and ah... it would seem to me that if we are ever going to take a step such as this, it would be ah... well to have it in the form of a separate Bill with notice and an opportunity for State's Attorney Association and the individual State's Attorney to be heard on this subject. It does bring in a completely new concept and ah... I, I just don't think that it's proper in this Bill and for that reason, I would urge you to oppose this Amendment."

Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo, to close the debate."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in answer to the... what's been said. In the first place, the Gentleman from Cook remarked about this being a dilemma of the State's Attorney is just not true. The Bill provides that the State's



Attorney when he has sufficient cause may file a petition with the Chief Judge of his circuit, the Attorney General if he sees fit may come in and either oppose the petition or not as he desires. The Court will then determine whether or not the State Grand Jury should be empaneled for that cause and whether or not cause exists. I submit that this is very much what should be a use of a State Grand Jury. This is very much what should be a part of this Bill. It is not such new matter that it should be in a separate Bill. If we're going to have a State Grand Jury, why in the name of Heaven can't it be used when there's serious crimes that cannot get attended to if he's a State's Attorney in a small county because he doesn't have the resources, finance or the manpower to handle it. Why shouldn't he be able to use the State Grand Jury and the Attorney General's Office to handle the prosecution of a mass murder, a prison riot, or a financial crime of great magnitude. I submit this Amendment should be adopted and will make this a better Bill and a good Bill and one that I could support and I ask your vote 'aye' and I would ask for immediate adoption, Mr. Speaker, and for a Roll Call on this very important Amendment to a Bill that'll make it a good Bill."

Miller: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #8. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Record Mr. Harpstrite as 'no'. On this question there are 55 'ayes', 86 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #8, Shea. Amends Senate Bill 419 by deleting presiding where it appears in each of the following places and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Would the Chief Sponsor yield for a question? Bob, all I've done is change the word presiding to Chief Judge. As you know, there are no presiding judges at the circuit level and I ah... it's that simple."

Day: "Well, we have presiding judges at the circuit level in ah... the 10th Judicial District."



Shea: "Well then could we sit down and split it. I, I don't know, I know where you've got multi-counties, how do you, what do you do, have a presiding judge of the county?"

Day: "Right, but that's not..."

Shea: "All right, pardon me."

Day: "Any presiding judge that, that is available at the time the petition is filed, and judge that is presiding."

Shea: "Well, then what you're saying is, in effect, you want to give to every judge, statewide, the authority to empanel Grand Juries?"

Day: "If he's... Yes, if he's presiding. He's a Circuit Judge."

Shea: "Well, what do you mean by presiding? That's my problem. Can I explain to you the... The Constitution and the rules of the Supreme Court give the right to the Chief Judge in each circuit to set up divisions or districts. Pursuant to that by rule in the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Chief Judge has set up six divisions that go on geographical lines called districts and the municipal department, districts one through six. At the county department level, he set up seven divisions and there is a presiding judge in charge of each of those divisions, the divorce division, the probate division, the law division, the chancery division, the criminal division, etc. Now is it your intention that the, the presiding judge of the probate division could then empanel a Grand Jury?"

Day: "Well, I, I'm sure that anyone that was handling a petition such as this for the Attorney General's Office and a petition was going to be filed in Cook County, if that's your arrangement that he would have sense enough to file such a petition ah... before the presiding judge of the criminal division or whatever, whatever the ah... whatever presiding judge would normally ah... empanel a Grand Jury."

Shea: "Well, I think you'll find the case law is very specific on this and was, it's about a 1920 or '30 case ah... wherein a judge other than the, it was then the Chief Judge of the criminal division, empaneled a Grand Jury in Cook County and indictments were returned by that Grand Jury were later quashed in the Supreme Court because it said that that Judge was not the proper officer to empanel a



Grand Jury in Cook County. Now my only, my only thought here, Bob, and my Amendment only goes to that one point is the specific, does he want to get it to the right judge so we don't have the problem of having empanel a statewide Grand Jury and then find out that it was an improper judge at least in that jurisdiction. So I would be happy sit down and work with you or Mr. Howard or the Attorney General so that we can specify that at least within that jurisdiction. That was the purpose of my Amendment."

Day: "Representative Shea, I, I have discussed these Amendments thoroughly with the Attorney General's Office. They feel that the term presiding judge is ah... is the proper way to do this. The problem with your Amendment is that it limits, it limits this authority to one person, namely the Chief Circuit Judge and ah... ah... where you, you must keep in mind that where you are down-state and you have five counties within a, a circuit, where you have ah... someplaces, ah... considerably more counties, that you'd have..."

Shea: "There are some with 12 or 13, Bob, and I understand the problem."

Day: "You'd only be one person there that you would have to find to, who could do this and, and ah... if he's on vacation, if he's ah... ah... tied up in some other kind of a hearing ah... the whole, the whole program would be bogged down. It would seem to me that any presiding judge who is authorized ah... to empanel a countywide Grand Jury should be authorized to empanel ah... a, a ah... statewide Grand Jury such as is contemplated by this Act."

Shea: "All right, now in Peoria in your county, Bob, who can empanel a Grand Jury?"

Day: "The ah... judge that is assigned to the, the criminal division."

Shea: "All right, now you have divisions in Peoria as I understand them. If the Attorney General wanted to go to a presiding judge of your civil division, under your Bill, could he, could he get an order empaneling a statewide Grand Jury from that judge?"

Day: "Well I think he could, but I think that judge would immediately refer him to the ah... judge that was handling the ah... the criminal matters at that time. I think he could. I see why not. It's



a ministerial Act really. There isn't any ah... it's primarily an administrative matter to empanel a Grand Jury."

Shea: "Well you may consider it a ministerial Act, but I think, Bob, you're a better lawyer than that. You're a much better lawyer than that, Bob. That's a very important Act. You've got some problems about how many Grand Juries you can have going, where they're going to sit, ah... and I, and I can understand that, but I think you're getting into a..."

Miller: "For what purpose, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, arise?"

Duff: "Just a point of order, Mr. Speaker. It seems like they're going very, very far afield from the Amendment."

Miller: "I think your point is well taken. Ah... proceed, Mr. Shea, with your presentation of your Amendment."

Shea: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amendment, the language of the Bill is drafted in the words presiding judge. There is no place in the statute the word presiding judge is used to the best of my knowledge. The rules of the Supreme Court and the Constitution provide for a Chief Judge in each of the 21 circuits throughout the State and then provide for divisions or districts. I merely ask and I wish I could do it by concurrence with the Gentleman or if the downstate districts are different or downstate circuits are different, then the circuits in Cook that we could work out an Amendment that would at least insure that I know which of 250 Circuit Judges in Cook County are going to issue a call of a Grand Jury ah... I would appreciate the adoption of Amendment #8."

Miller: "All right, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... the presiding judge means just exactly what it ah... says in common everyday parlance. It's the judge that is sitting on the bench at the time ah... If we were to adopt this Amendment, ah... again we would be restricting the operation of this Bill because we would be limiting it in each circuit to only one judge and that's the Chief Circuit Judge and the problem here is that he may be involved in other matters, he may be on vacation, he may be ill, and there's



just no reason why we should limit this Bill in this way. In addition to that, the Bill specifically provides that the Supreme Court shall have rule-making power on this thing and if there would be any kind of a, a technical problem, I'm sure that it could be cleared up by rules adopted by the Supreme Court. For that reason, I would oppose this Amendment and urge you not to vote for it."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, to close the debate."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems incongruous to me with a subject so important as this that we say it's just a small, technical, minor Amendment; but you are allowing if I am to follow the floor debate here, and I am to follow what the Gentleman's substance of why he opposes this, you will allow in the Circuit Court of Cook County anyone of 250 some judges to empanel a statewide Grand Jury. There could be 255 statewide Grand Juries going at one time out of Cook County and I think that my Amendment is technical. I think I'm technically correct. I don't understand the Gentleman's opposition to it and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #8. Want a Roll Call, Mr. Shea?"

Shea: "I can read numbers too, so an... if you'd like, we'll just go 'aye' and 'nay' because I can tell you the outcome of it already."

Miller: "All right, all those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #8 will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'nays' have it, Mr. Shea, and your motion is lost, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I know when you sit up there that whoever's got that gavel is eminantly fair. Therefore, I trust your judgement."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Shea. Next Amendment."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #9, Ewell. Amends Senate Bill 419 by adding Section 10 after Section 9."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen and all the friends of Bill Scott. This is indeed a good Amendment. The Amendment is designed to lift the office above the quagmire of petty, partisan politics. The purpose of the Amendment is to make sure that our wonderful



Attorney General who ran on a program of consumer protection and I might add that I think he's done a very wonderful job and who also ran on a program of environmental protection and I think he has made every noble attempt that can be made in this field to attempt to help the people of the State of Illinois. This Amendment very simply states that this Act shall take effect on the second Monday of January, 1976. The purpose of this Amendment is not to encumber our fine Attorney General or his office with the awesome chore of criminal prosecution. In this day and age, there is apprehension that is spreading throughout the land, but the offices of Attorney General might be used for partisan political prosecution. I do not believe that our Attorney General would do anything of this sort, but I should like to point out that when he ran for office and he made it, took his case to the people that he said, I want to be a consumer advocate. I want to protect the rights of the consumer. I want to do a great deal for our environment, but nowhere when you search his words or the record will you find one iota or one inkling that the present Attorney General ever wanted to become a criminal prosecutor. I say let's help him with his pledge to the people. Let's help him continue his great job. Let's allay the fears and the wariness of people who are every day saying investigations from high political office to low political office and I suggest that if we want to help Bill Scott and if we want to be a friend of the Attorney General, we do not lower him into the quagmire of political prosecutions. I think that when he acknowledges what you do for him on this particular Bill ah... I think he will indeed be gratified and we can start anew with each, with the next Attorney General and allow him to run and to campaign and to make his promises to the people, but also to be able to keep them and I have every admiration for our Attorney General for he has the second highest total at least in my Ward of any Republican candidate and we think well of him and I want to keep him on the job that he has asked to do, the job that he has pledged to do, and to let him see it to its final end. Therefore, I ask all the friends of Bill Scott to take heed with this Amendment and to allow him to continue doing



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

his wonderful job and to vote 'aye' on this Amendment. It's very simple. The Act shall take affect on the second Monday of January, 1976 and I ask your indulgence and consideration and I would urge and 'aye' vote on this Amendment. I shall be only too glad to answer any questions."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I just have one question for my colleague ah... I'm sure the Attorney General appreciates the Representative's concern for his, the Attorney General's time. I wonder if he's taken the trouble to ask the Attorney General if he's doing him a favor by this Amendment."

Ewell: "You take this out of the record, I'll be glad to."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Ewell, do you want us to..."

Ewell: "Well, that's the end of that question. There any others. I would be glad to and if ah... perhaps one of his ah... close associates on the other side could advise us as to this matter."

Miller: "I don't understand what you're saying, take this out of the record. Do you want to adopt your Amendment, Mr. Ewell?"

Ewell: "No, no, no."

Miller: "Well, what is your desire, sir. You're offering the Amendment."

Ewell: "I was responding to a question from Mr. Mugalian."

Miller: "All right."

Ewell: "And the answer I did not have and I said perhaps one of the ah... fine Sponsors could give us an answer to this question."

Miller: "All right, is there further discussion concerning this Amendment? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can't help but echo much of what Representative Ewell has said. I think it's a fact that this Bill has gone as far as it has in this General Assembly is attributed to Bill Scott and I think that it's also a tribute to his predecessor ah... Bill Clark because I'm sure that ah... both of these Gentleman who are of the highest height I'm sure that neither one of these Gentleman would we have any cause to fear that any power given by this Bill would be misused, but ah... all the Amendment does, of course, is to postpone



the effective date of the Act until January 1, 1976 and I see no reason why we should declare a moratorium on, on, on the, the fight against organized crime. I think that the people in this State are really concerned today about this subject and I think they expect us to get down to business and to give our Attorney General the tools that he needs to effectively combat this problem and for this reason, I would oppose the Amendment so that we can have an early effective date."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to close the debate."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, and friends of Bill Scott, again I ask only your limited indulgence to see what we're attempting to do with this Amendment. I know that there are many fine prosecutors who want to fight crime. There are many on the federal level and we could take a look at Mr. Thompson in Chicago. He might want to run for the office of Attorney General and no doubt, he would bring his record with him, place it before the people, and say that I would like to be a prosecutor for the State of Illinois. We could take a look at the present attorney for the County of Cook and he, too, might lay his case before the people and we could look around the State and find out that we have many fine prosecutors who could make pledges and the people could decide that they wanted to elect them to become a prosecutor, a criminal prosecutor and they could keep faith with their commitment, but I'm asking in this day where we..."

Miller: "Just a moment, Mr. Ewell. For what purpose does Mr. Deavers arise."

Deavers: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. He's not talking to the Amendment."

Miller: "Well, perhaps that's well taken. I'm sure Mr. Ewell will confine his remarks to his Amendment."

Ewell: "Directly to the Amendment, that we want this Act to take place in January, 1976 and I don't think any well-intentioned Member of this Legislature could question either our motives or our love for the present Attorney General and I think that if you only pause to reflect and say that this is what we want to do, drop our present



Attorney General into this dirty, filthy business of criminal prosecution, I'd say we are in error and I would only ask that each and every Member join me in supporting this Amendment."

Miller: "The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Ammdment #9. All those in favor will please say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'nos' have it and the Gentleman's motion is lost. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk? The Bill is ordered advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 455. An Act to amend the Illinois Government Ethics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Juckett. Amends Senate Bill 455 in the House by striking line 21, 22 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 ah... merely changes from 4 days till 1 day that a candidate would have to file the ah... ethics statement after filing his candidacy and I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 455."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes', the 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman's motion is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading. Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

(con't on next page)



Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 461, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1, Hanahan, amend Senate Bill 461, as amended, on Page 1, Section II by striking Line 31 and inserting in lieu thereof....."

Rep. Kenneth W. Miller: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

T. J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment No. 1, to Senate Bill 461, which is the Governor's Administrative Payroll Office, as amended, according to Amendment No. 1, and, to the exact positions and titles that the Governor has requested in this fiscal year, coming this fiscal year. And, it calls for eighteen Assistants to the Governor, from a salary range of \$1,044 to \$2,916 a month. It calls for four Executive Secretaries at \$928 to \$1,201 a month and it calls for three Administrative Aides, from \$822 to \$928 a month. And, it says, 'any amounts appropriate in this Section for operations of the Executive Office of this Act for personal services for the position, titles listed below shall be available according to the number of positions and within the minimum and maximum rates of pay as follows:'. I suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that if we don't want to be surprised with some



Barons and some Knights and some Deputies that this Amendment be adopted to the Bill so that we know that the Governor, who went to the people and said that he wants an.. administrator the State of Illinois' business in an open, populous way, that he's being above board with the people by allowing the General Assembly to say to him, 'If you want to be honest, you've asked for eighteen Assistants to the Governor, we'll allow you eighteen Assistants to the Governor. But, if you request a Deputy Governor, if you request a Baron, if you request a Knight, be honest with the people and come before the General Assembly and solely address the General Assembly's request'. And, this Amendment is not unusual. We have added this type of provision on other Bills. In the past, I might point out that in the State of Illinois, that the Governor's Office, up to Otto Kerner's reign, had this exact provision within the Statutes, that we have had the same provision in the Statutes concerning other constitutional elected officers. The Lieutenant Governor's Office has an Amendment to be adopted to it. And, I might point out, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this is not such an unusual request, to make a man live to exactly what he wants to live with. He went to the people and got elected. The people believed in him. The people admired his courage of walking around the State of Illinois. And, he's honest with us. He came before.. or his Administrative Assistants came before the Appropriations Committee and said they wanted eighteen Assistants to the Governor. All I'm doing is making sure,



by this Amendment, that that's all we have, that all of a sudden we don't have a bunch of other people with other titles doing other performances, that were not acknowledged by the General Assembly. I might point out that in the year of 1964, which was my first term here, Otto Kerner was Governor. It was his second term as Governor. And, in his Budget, he had five Assistants to the Governor with a salary range of \$1,000 to \$1,500 a month. Today, the Governor of this State has eighteen and they've got all sorts of titles. I think, the General Assembly in its wisdom should be able to confer its titles upon the people who are going ^{to} administer the peoples' business. I'm tired of seeing other types of titles being handed down willy-nilly without the people really knowing a thing about it until we read about it in the Newspapers. This would allow eighteen Assistants to the Governor. And, I might add, this is exactly what the Governor's... the Administration has requested. In no way, does it dilute his ability, his flexibility or in any way to administer the peoples' business. I think, it's ah.. a good Amendment. I think, it's a Peoples' Amendment and, I think, that's what the Governor of this State would like, a peoples type of populous Government that everyone knows what's going on, that there's no secret rooms, no secret administrators, that everyone knows exactly their role in Government by the title and the salary that the General Assembly affixes. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1, to Senate Bill, whatever it is..., 6... 461."



Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman from McHenry yield to a question?"

Miller: "Proceed."

Lauer: "Representative Hanahan, ah... you have mentioned Knights and therein, ah... we're not going into a Monarchy situation by this Amendment are we?"

Hanahan: "Well, I've had in the past references of administrators that were called Deputy Dictators and I just want to make sure we are on an administrative level and this is the reason for the Amendment."

Lauer: "Mr. Hanahan, as long as we're checking this sort of thing out ah... there is no mention either of Archbishops or Bishops, I want to make sure that the Lord's spiritual are also out of here."

Hanahan: "Right, I want to make sure we don't get conferred upon with an Archbishop, too, in his office. That's why this Amendment is good."

Lauer: "This being the case, Mr. Hanahan, let me check one more thing. This Amendment then would assure that the State of Illinois does stay in keeping with the United States Constitutional provision that no title of nobility may be conferred."

Hanahan: "Absolutely, that's exactly the reason."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Bill?"

Miller: "You may, sir."

Lauer: "Or to the Amendment rather. I think, Mr. Speaker, that this is an admirable Amendment because assistants are necessary to all of us. We know this because all of us are finding ourselves inadequate to prepare and run all the errands that we have to run. We are probably inadequate to prepare ourselves to a thorough knowledge of each of these Bills upon which we're called to vote, but we recognize and we take responsibility of the fact that these are assistants, but I don't know that the Legislative Body or any Member of it has appointed a Deputy outside the law and I don't know of any Legislative or legal Bill passage whereby a Deputy Governor is authorized by law in this State and the only thing that really disturbs me, Mr. Speaker, since we don't have a title of



Knight or Baron or Archbishop or Bishop but deputies, I wonder if the next order for motion might be Godfather. I strongly support Representative Hanahan's Amendment."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Amendment ah... #1. I would like to remind the Sponsor of the Amendment that ah... Dan Walker was elected Governor and has about three and a half more years to go and he was elected State Representative and I think that as long as Dan Walker is functioning within the framework of the Constitution, there's not a 'hell' of a lot we can do about it. I haven't been too happy with his performance up to this point, but he's the Governor and I'm going to try to be a good Legislator and I'm hopeful that he will do his job and I'm certain that he's satisfied and I would suggest that when ah... the Spncsor of this Amendment mentioned the fact that what the salaries were for, for the Governor when he was elected, I would also suggest to him to check what the salaries were for State Representatives. Now I think that it's too late in the Session for us to get hung up here on a lot of personalities and details and I hope that all of us will co-operate and function with the Governor since there's nothing we can do about it. We can't impeach him unless he violates the Constitution and I think that the people in the State ah... are expecting all of us to carry out our duties as elected officials and manage this State for the best interest of everyone. I think this Amendment should be defeated."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment that is being offered on the House floor now was offered in the Appropriations Committee and soundly defeated. At that time, I asked that the Committee Members extend the same courtesy to the budget that was being presented in the Appropriations Committee and was extended to former Governor Ogilvie's budget when it was presented before the Appropriations Committee. This Amendment, all he's trying to do is embarass and hamstring the Chief Executive of the State of Illinois. When Governor Ogilvie came before the



Appropriations Committee and he asked for an additional number of executive assistants, in turn, his grant... his request was granted. He was the Chief Executive Officer of the State of Illinois and in turn we wanted to give him every tool possible so he could run this State as he saw fit. I think, Ladies and Gentlemen, the same courtesy should be extended, should be extended to Governor Dan Walker. The people elected him and in turn, he has the responsibility of being the Chief Executive office of this State and has the responsibility, we should give him the authority to run his office as he sees fit. One other point, the point as far as his Deputy to the Governor, not Deputy Governor. I would hope that this Amendment is defeated."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I was deeply intrigued by the idea of Lord Spiritual, Lord Temporal. So I felt that this, these things were mentioned as part of this Amendment, why it might be well to inform ah... Representative Hanahan, whose Amendment I am not going to quarrel with, that he picked the lower bracket of Knight and a Baron. What he should ^{have} suggested that possibly DeGrazio might be an ArchDuke followed by a Duke, than a Count, a Viscount, and an Earl, and then the Baron who's same as a second Lieutenant, first Lieutenant and the Gov... a Knight and a second Lieutenant. He's at the top of the hierarchy and it would be more effective."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Hanahan: "I do."

Collins: "Representative Hanahan, ah... if I understand your Amendment correctly, there were certain individuals who were refused confirmation by the Senate Executive Committee ah... Mr. Fogel, Mr. Lahey, Miss Lahey, Mr. Dante, Miss Phillipi. I think there were others who are on the payroll now. What would this do to their positions?"

Hanahan: "All this Amendment would do would negate their titles and relegate them if the Governor so wished to hire them as an assistant to the Governor and he's allowed up to 18 of them according to this Amendment from a salary range of \$10,044 a month..."



Miller: "Just a minute, Mr. Hanahan. I'm getting complaints about the noise. May I get a little... pound this thing a couple of times. I haven't done it today yet."

Hanahan: "It would only allow the Governor... would... it would... which is all he has requested to do. It would only allow him to hire 18 assistants to the Governor at a salary range of \$10,044 a month to \$2,916 a month, but he wouldn't do exactly in criticism of what one of the former Speakers said of me. He wouldn't let him circumvent the Constitution and this is what I'm aiming at. So if, if anyone's talking about upholding the Constitution, this is what I took an oath of office to do."

Collins: "Well, that's the point I wished to draw out. This would prevent the apparent circumvention of the Constitution ah... and the Legislative process which ah... appears to be public today. Thank you."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from ah... Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Miller: "Just a moment. I didn't recognize you for that because I must recognize Mr. Washington, who is the Sponsor of the Bill and I can't allow him to close. So will you hold that motion just for a moment so I can recognize Mr. Washington."

Collins: "I'll hold that for Mr. Washington."

Miller: "All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington, who is the Sponsor of the Bill."

Washington: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank Representative Terzich for holding his motion. As House Sponsor of Senate Bill 461 which is the Governor's Office budget, I rise in opposition to this ah... this Amendment. Let me make this very clear, Gentlemen. We are not talking about personalities here. We are talking about the Office of a Chief Executive of the State of Illinois and it seems to me that that Office is entitled to the utmost courtesy and respect from the other branch of Government, namely the General Assembly. I think we demean ourselves, I think we demean ourselves when we use such phrases as Godfather in reference to people working under the Governor's Office. The implication of that phrase, that word, I think you don't want reverberating around this Hall in reference



to any personality. So I would caution you, I would caution you. Stop taking these cheap shots at your Governor. It makes no sense to do so. You demean Government, you reduce your own self to a level I don't think you want to be on and you do a disservice to the people of the State who have elected this man to fill that office for four years. Now traditionally, this kind of a delineation of a Governor's budget has not been adhered to. We out of respect for his office have permitted him some discretion and leeway in the selection of his subordinates and we do that simply because we understand that he owes an office of trust and he must have around him those individuals he, whom he will trust and he must trust. I urge you, do not be carried away by personalities here because you're dealing with the very substance and meat of Democratic government, the separation of powers and the mutual respect of our branch of government from the other. I will not attribute to the Sponsor of this Amendment any untoward or negative motivations. I simply say this, in light of the fact that this Amendment was defeated resoundingly, resoundingly in the Appropriations Committee, I wish that Gentleman whose integral part, I think is somewhat unseemly for him to have brought this matter back to the floor. I urge you to defeat this Amendment."

Miller: "All right, now I assume Mr. Farley renews his motion and I hear no objections, so we return to the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan to close the debate."

Hanahan: "The last speaker that spoke mentioned Democratic Government. Now I don't think there are too many politic people without any political science analogue in this room. Since when is government by appointment without the advise and consent of the Senate, government, a Democratic form of government. To me, Democracy means election, free elections; not to saddled with appointments for political reasons, not to saddled with appointments of administrative responsibility according to friendship. If we want to talk about friendship, not to be saddled with administration corrupt almost in the aspect that they are not even citizens of Illinois previous to sitting in, in high level offices as Directors and Commissioners of State government. I think I owe the people of Illinois and the



33rd District a vote of responsibility of respecting the office of Governor, respecting the word of the candidate who ran for that office when he said he wanted to go before the people and he wanted to have an open government. I don't believe you have an open government when you hide behind a charade of 18 various titles appointed people for various reasons. I think it's a worse charade and a real ah... raping of the Constitution when you go behind the fact that you could appoint any man or woman to any title to an administrative purpose when you have a Constitutionally elected office of Lieutenant Governor who's not even relegated with his own office staff and the responsibilities that if the Governor of this State had any fortitude or had any guts, he would administer his office with the Lieutenant Governor. Now I suggest to the Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I like on his... by calling him to his word. He brought before the Appropriations Committee an appropriation matter that called for 18 assistants to the Governor. I just want to keep him to his word with a statute, not just his word because I won't take his word. He's lied to the people up and down this State enough. I think it's about time this General Assembly takes him to task and keeps his word by a statute and I move to adopt this Amendment."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Okay, all right, you can have a Roll Call. I'll never deny that to you, you know that. Okay, all those in favor of adoption of Amendment #1 will vote 'aye' and all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, arise."

Terzich: "Well, ah... Mr. Speaker, I understand from ah... that Archdukes have very long memories."

Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 53 'ayes' and 101 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Washington. Amends Senate Bill 461 by striking line 31 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."



Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 adds the appropriation to the Governor's office for the Illinois Board of Ethics. The to... the Amendments are on your desks and have been for several days. There is a total of \$52,575 for the operation of that office and I urge your adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 461."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Miller: "He will, proceed, sir."

Lechowicz: "Was this the matter that the Governor was referring to ah... by Executive Order?"

Washington: "Yes, the Board of Ethics was set up by Executive Order of the Governor. I have the Executive Order here. It's called the Ethics Disclosure Division and is number 4-... ah... Executive Order passed this year."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "I notice that the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee is not on the floor and I wonder if this Gentleman would take the Amendment and the Bill out of the record until the Chairman returns."

Miller: "Mr. Lechowicz care to comment?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... in answer to the Majority Leader, these Amendments have been discussed with the Chairman and in turn with the Minority Co-Spokesman and we don't, we don't find any objection to Amendment 2 or 3."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, I don't doubt that they've been discussed, but I would like to know what the Chairman, how the Chairman feels about 'em and ah... ah... I... can't we go on to something else and come back to this in a few minutes when he returns?"

Miller: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, I want to thank the Majority Leader for his courtesy because if you recall last year there was courtesy extended on this matter to your side."

Miller: "Well is the Chair informed that it's agreeable that this be



taken out of the record just for..."

Washington: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Miller: "...call a couple other Bills and then come back to this one."

Washington: "I want to accede to the wishes of the Majority Leader, but I think we all know from experience that if Representative Lechowicz says this matter was discussed with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, it was discussed and the implication is that it was approved. I see no reason to go behind his word and I would insist, rather I would urge the Majority Leader to withdraw his objections. If he insist, I will take it out of the record, but I see no reason to waste his time and furthermore, Mr. Speaker, if there are objections, I'll bring it back to Third, period. There won't be any arguments about that."

Miller: "That agreeable, Mr. Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, no ah... you move the adoption of the Amendment. Now I'm asking because I don't know anything about this Amendment. I would like, though, to find out what the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee feels about the Amendment and I don't think that's asking too much, Harold. I think we can hold it or move it to Third without the Amendment and bring it back ah... if you wish or hold it. Just take it out of the record."

Miller: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Does that apply to Amendment #3 also, Mr. Majority Leader?"

W.D. Walsh: "Well, yeh, any appropriations Bill."

Washington: "All right, I want to be co-operative. I, I... would you call... If I took it out of the record, if I were permitted to take it out of the record, would you call it again this evening, Mr. Speaker?"

Miller: "Well, the Chair has, the intention right at the moment to go back to Bills that have been omitted here earlier today and call those two Bills and perhaps by the time that is done, you're right back, Mr. Washington, you'd be right back in order again and we could call 461."

Washington: "Well, I want to thank the Majority Leader for his courtesy and ah... suppose we move it to Third without these two Amendments. I can bring it back."



W.D. Walsh: "That'll be fine. I appreciate the Gentleman's courtesy."

Washington: "You're welcome."

Miller: "You withdraw Amendment #2 for the time being?"

Washington: "Yes, yes, sir."

Miller: "And Amendment #3 for the time being, Mr. Washington?"

Washington: "Yes."

Miller: "Are there any further Amendments other than these Amendments,

Mr. Clerk? All right, advance the Bill to the order of Third

Reading and hold Amendments #2 and 3 with that understanding."

(con't on next page)



Miller: "All right, now so we're trying to clean up all this Calendar, the Chair notes that House, er... Senate Bill 192 was not called earlier today and Mr. Clerk will you read ah... Senate Bill 192 for the second time."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 192, Murphy. A Bill for an Act privilege tax on mobile homes. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 192 on page 1, line 19 by deleting 'as' and inserting in lieu thereof 'as are' and in line 21 by deleting 'ad valorum'."

Miller: "All right, the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 that was offered by myself at Committee ah... was not completely satisfactory Amendment. Although it did pass Committee that day and we said we would meet with the ah... Cook County Board's people and the City of Chicago people to see if we could work out a more acceptable Amendment for Second Reading and we have done that. So I now move to table, to table Amendment #1."

Miller: "All right, the gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Read the next Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Murphy. Amends Senate Bill 192 on page 1, line..."

Miller: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, this is the agreed Amendment which is Amendment #2 and this makes it the ah... placing of the square foot tax on mobile homes permissive in the counties throughout the State of Illinois and I move the adoption of this Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading. Also on ah... the Calendar on a Bill that was passed over is Senate Bill 479. Mr. Clerk will read Senate Bill 479."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 479, Ryan. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the expenses of the Attorney General. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 479 as amended by adding the Section 6 to read as follows. Section 6



and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 adds \$133,000 for the Crime Victims Compensation Act which we're mandated to do and I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J.J. Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Miller: "Proceed, Mr. Wolf."

Ryan: "No."

J.J. Wolf: "Okay."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 479 as amended on page 1 by striking line..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "I would move to table Amendment #2."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Amendment #4, Ryan. Amends Senate Bill 479 as amended..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, this is a line item budget ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and this replaces Amendment #2 and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz arise?"

Lechowicz: "I was just wondering, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #5 was filed



or was it with... withdrawn? All right, thank you."

Miller: "The Chair's informed that ah... Senate Bill 880 ah... has not been called today. Mr. Clerk, will you read it a second time."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 880, Griesheimer. A Bill for an Act relating to the General Assembly employees. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 880 on page 2, line 6 and page 3, line..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment 2 is merely a provision to correct the wording of this Bill so that the employees or our employees through our \$10,000 state allowance may be included if they wish in the pension or retirement system of the State of Illinois. This makes it a voluntary program whether they wish to participate. The way the Bill was written originally, it was mandatory. I urge your support of this Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Is the Sponsor addressing himself to an Amendment that increases it by \$600?"

Griesheimer: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear."

Berman: "Is this Amendment 1 that you addressing yourself to?"

Griesheimer: "No, this is Amendment #2. Amendment #1 was put on in Committee. Is this #1?"

Jack O'Brien: "That's 1 we're on."

Griesheimer: "All right then, excuse me. If this is ah... if this is Committee Amendment #1, all it does is it changes the number of hours for eligibility ah... for the state pension and retirement fund for our employees ah... taking their salaries through our \$10,000 office allowance. The original Bill called for 900 hours. We changed that to read 1,500 minimum so that it's in conformity with other Bills that appeared before the pension ah... Bills in section six the Committee."

Miller: "Are you finished? Have you finished, Mr..."

Berman: "My second question was this. Does this Bill in this Amendment, does that mean that the people we pay out of our voucher account ah... can come under the Pension Code?"



Griesheimer: "That's exactly correct. It redefines what an employee is and if one of these people actually have 1,500 hours minimum service to the State of Illinois, that will define him as an employee for purposes of retirement and I understand also for medical ah... benefit plans."

Miller: "Now is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Griesheimer, is Amendment #2 include them for the ah... health insurance?"

Griesheimer: "I'm advised that it is because of the redefinition that they are State employees. If they so desire, then they would come in for the benefits of the health insurance plan. It's a contractual matter, but I've been told that that is the interpretation of it."

Terzich: "Very good and ah... I would like to urge ah... support of this Amendment and this Bill."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Griesheimer. Amends Senate Bill 880 on page 2, line 4 by deleting is and inserting in lieu..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I've already reviewed what Amendment #2 does. It merely makes this plan voluntary so that one of our employees may select or elect to go into the pension or retirement fund and ah... all it does is give them the discretion to elect or not to elect. I'd urge your support for this second Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves for the immediate consideration and adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill's advanced to the order of Third Reading. All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand in recess for 30 minutes for the purpose of a Republican Conference in Room 212."



Miller: "All right, you've heard the Gentleman's motion. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Speaker, before adj... ah... have a recess for a half an hour, I'd ask leave that the Clerk read House Resolution 498 and ask for the kind indulgence of the Members and their attention, please."

Miller: "Well, is this agreeable with Mr. Walsh? You made the motion to recess, Mr. Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Ah... We haven't seen that ah... Oh, yeh, well, go right ahead, please, Mr. Clerk."

Miller: "All right, proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Majority Leader."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 498, Lechowicz et al. Whereas on the 28th day of June in the year of our Lord, 19 and 20, the sun arose in the east as usual, and whereas no angels appeared, and no earthquakes or floods periled the earth, this was to be a day that would be recorded by some as notable. By others, as a disaster; and whereas on this eventful day on a farm near the little Egyptian town at West Frankfort, a grinning, two-fisted, little stump jumper, first made his appearance; and whereas his daddy took one look at him and said, just like liquor, you make good batches and you make bad batches. Reckon you can't tell till it's aged some; and whereas this kid started to age immediately and became 100 proof by the time World War II rolled around; and whereas this southern Illinois boy showed such great courage and power on the battlefield that the governments of both France and United States saw fit to award their most coveted citations, the French Fourregere and the United States Congressional Medal of Honor; and whereas this youthful hero returned to southern Illinois from World War II to begin a long and distinguished career of service in the Illinois General Assembly, and in time was compared to such great folk heros as Davy Crockett, Superman, and Bill Murphy; and whereas this great Legislator, steeped in the traditions of southern Illinois coal mines, has also been likened to other folk hero, Big John, of the popular song, 'Sixteen Tons' for he has often let his colleagues know that he has two hands made of steel; if the right one doesn't get you, then the left one will; and whereas he reached a pinnacle of power and prestige in



in 1972 when he served as Chairman of the Illinois Delegation to the Democrat National Convention; and whereas during that historic week in Miami Beach, the Majority Leader of the Illinois House of Representatives became a household word, known to the nation as Clyde Shultz; and whereas the nation tuned to w... NBC, CBS, and ABC to hear Representative Shultz utter those immortal words, the Illinois Delegation joins the ban on the use of lettuce; and whereas despite such great achievements and such an illustrious Legislative career, there are still those who claim that Clyde was hatched out of a lump of southern Illinois coal; but whereas those of us who know him best are convinced that someday a shrine will be built in Clyde's honor, extolling his outstanding leadership abilities, be it on the battlefield, the ball field, the dance floor, or the floor of the Illinois House; be it therefore resolved by the House of Representatives, of the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that the entire Membership is wishing this rugged individualist a very Happy Birthday, extending our congratulations to the Town of West Frankfort, which was honored by his birth, to District 59 for his having the services of such a man, and to Clyde for being so lucky as to know all of us; and be it further resolved that we all join in the wishing of Clyde many more happy and successful years and the very best of health that can be expected for a man of his advanced years; and be it further resolved that a copy of this Resolution, if adopted, be presented to the Honorable Clyde Choate as a token of our respect, love, and best wishes to him on his 53rd birthday."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave of the House to suspend for its immediate consideration. I want to thank the Speaker and the Majority in having this Resolution presented at this time before we become partisan once again after our conference, and to my distinguished Minority Leader and really a true friend, may I extend to him a very Happy Birthday. May we have many more together. Mr. Speaker, at this time I'd like to move the adoption of House Resolution 498 and ask that Representative Tipsword, Geo-Karis and all concerned, sing Happy Birthday."



Miller: "I assume the Gentleman has leave. The Chair recognizes two people, the Gentleman from Christian, this is women's lib, you know, Mr. Tipsword, the Lady from Lake, Mr., Ms. that is, Adeline Geo-Karis."

Tipsword: "Ms. Geo-Karis, we have ah... we have ah... a verse to 'Happy Birthday' this time and I'll start it in and we'll get to the 'Happy Birthday'. It goes something like this ah... 'Give me land, lots of land, under starry skies above, don't fence me in'."

Tipsword and Geo-Karis and Members of the House: "Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday dear Clyde, Happy Birthday to you."

Miller: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave of the House that the entire Membership be shown as Co-Sponsors of this Resolution."

Miller: "Leave is granted. Now I'm not sure about this, does the Chair have leave not to recognize the Gentleman from Union? The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, if he cares to respond."

Choate: "Somebody said Bill Walsh objected, but I know you didn't, Bill. Mr. Speaker, and my good friends all, I would only suggest that the Republican conference not get too partisan, not last too long, and maybe we can get some of that 100 proof yet tonight."

Miller: "All right ah... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, we were going to have our conference on that Resolution, so we may as well stay. No, seriously, we will confer right away in Room 212 for a half hour."

Miller: "All right, before I recognize officially Mr. Walsh, I think we should go back to the motion of the Representative from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz and ah... call for the motion, call for the... I'm a little confused as to how to do this, I can assure you. All those in favor of the motion say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Thank you. All right, now ah... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, has moved that the House stand in recess for 30 minutes for a Republican conference in Room 212. The House stands in recess for 30 minutes. The Members within the sound of my voice, please return to the House floor. Committee Reports. The Clerk will read those reports he has."



Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Washburn from the Committee on Appropriations to which Senate Bill 665 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do not pass. Mr. Washburn from the Committee on Appropriations to which Senate Bills 295, 666, 899, 1159, 1191 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Washburn from the Committee on Appropriations to which Senate Bill 370, 573, 699, 1108, 1107, 1109, 1148, 1198 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from the Committee on Appropriations to which House Bills 1992, 123, 206, 228, 240, 275, 466, 469, 592, 673, 731, 750, 815, 837, 861, 935, 1084, 1090, 1113, 1180, 1290, 1450, 1479, 1578, 1779, 1825, 1897, 1898, 1907, 1919, 1923, 1935, 1939, 1949, 1968, 1970 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass."

Miller: "Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary."

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede in their Amendments to Bill of the following title. House Bill 143. I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate request a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members of each House. Action taken by the Senate. Strike that last message."

Miller: "Once again an announcement for the Members. We are back on the floor ready to proceed with the business of the House and would those Members in the hearing of my voice, please return to the House chambers. And we'll get some of these disposed of. All right, Ladies and Gentlemen, the Clerk is going to read the Agreed Bill List. Those that remain on the Agreed Bill List. These are on Senate Bills, Third Reading and so please pay attention. Those of you who are interested in the Bills that are going to be on the Agreed Bill List Roll Call. Ah... For what purpose does the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber, arise?"

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I just ask that the Clerk would read them relative slowly so we could make sure we ah... can check our lists."



Miller: "I think that's a good suggestion, Mr. Clerk, so each Member can follow their own lists."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 32. Amends the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 33. Amends the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 35. Amends the Child Abuse Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 92. Amends the Anti-Trust Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 130. An Act relating to an easement in DuPage County. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 148. Amends the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 197. Amends an Act relating to trusts and trustees. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 228. Amends the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 243. Amends an Act relating to counties. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 250. Amends the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill, House Bill, er... Senate Bill 285. Appropriation expense of the State Civil Service Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 286. Appropriation for the expense of the State Employees' Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 289. Appropriation for the expense of the Office of the Commissioner of Savings and Loans. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 294. Appropriation for the expense of the Civil Defense Agency. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 296. Appropriation for the expense of the Office of Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 343. Appropriation for the expense of the Judicial Inquiry Board. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 376. Amends an Act relating to brucellosis among swine. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 381. Appropriation to the expense of the University Civil Service Merit Board. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 402. Amends the Bulk Milk Tank Operators Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 414. Appropriation to Teachers' Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 418. Appropriation Automatic Fire Sprinkler Contractors' and Journeymen Examining Board. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 420. Appropriation to Teachers' Retirement Benefits. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 425. Appropriation for the Clerk of Appellate



Court of the First District. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 426. Appropriation to the expense of the Clerk of the Appellate Court of the Second District. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 427. Appropriation for the expense of the Clerk of the Appellate Court of the Fifth District. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 433. Appropriation for the expense of the Clerk of the Appellate Court of the Fourth District. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 435. Appropriation for the expense of the Clerk of the Appellate Court of Third District. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 473. Amends the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 539. Amends the Fish Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 546. Amends the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 550. Amends the Metropolitan Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 557. Amends the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 563. Amends the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 577. Amends the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 580. Creates the Aurora Metropolitan Exposition, Auditorium, and Office Building Authority. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 6... Take it off? Off. Senate Bill 643. Amends the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 653. Amends the Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 654. Amends the Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 667. An Act relating to public instruction of higher education purchasing insurance. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 672. Appropriation for the Office of Lieutenant Governor. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 695. Amends the Bicentennial Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 707. Amends the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 708. Amends the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 709. Amends the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 710. An Act relating to the collection and disposal of unclaimed, abandoned vehicles. Third Reading of the Bill."

Miller: "For what purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise?"

Shea: "I'm informed that Senate Bill 708 needs an Amendment on it,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

so would you be kind enough to pull it out of that list and put it back on the regular list?"

Miller: "As I understand, Mr. Shea, that there is an Amendment up here.

So, therefore, delete ah... Senate Bill, is that 708?"

Fred Selcke: "Yes."

Miller: "Delete 708 from your list."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 711. Amends an Act relating to the Secretary of State. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 744. Amends the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 745. Amends the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 746. Amends the Pension Code. Senate Bill 764. Amends North-eastern Illinois Planning Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 787. Amends the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 794. Amends the Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 800. Amends the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 811. Amends the Public Junior College Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 817. Amends an Act relating to Alcoholic Liquors. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 869. Amends the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 872. Amends the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 901. Amends the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 977. Amends the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 999. Amends the Court of Claims Act. Third Reading of the Bill. 1026. Amends the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Ah... 1026. I read it. 1055. It was tabled. Ah... Senate Bill 1055 was tabled earlier."

Miller: "All right, will you show that on your records as 1055 was tabled."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 11... 1179. Amends the Capitol Development Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1180. Amends the Capitol Development Board Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1184. Amends the appropriation for Junior College Board. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1193. Amends the General Assembly appropriation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Miller: "Now are there any questions? The Gentleman from Cook,



Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Charlie Fleck is ah... temporarily absent but he's..."

Miller: "Just a minute, Mr. Bluthardt. Just a moment, please."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 745 is deleted from the list that was read."

Miller: "All right, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "All right, as I started to say, Charlie Fleck is temporarily out of the House, but he indicated to me that he wants to file objections to Senate Bills 543 and 580."

Miller: "Well, 5... Mr. Bluthardt, 543 was not read by the Clerk. 580 was read by the Clerk."

Bluthardt: "All right then, let the Clerk show the objection to 580."

Miller: "Mr. Bluthardt, the instructions were that this should be in writing if there's objection."

Bluthardt: "Well there is objection and it'll take me about a minute to put it in writing."

Miller: "All right, sir, please come down and do so. For what purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise?"

Shea: "I'm wondering if I could check four numbers to see if they were read, 283, 284, ah..."

Miller: "Just don't go to fast, Mr. Shea."

Fred Selcke: "283 was not read."

Shea: "284."

Fred Selcke: "284 is on Second Reading."

Shea: "641."

Fred Selcke: "Ah... 6... 6... 641 was not read."

Shea: "Who objected to 641, please?"

Fred Selcke: "Who objected to 641, Jack? Kenny Boyle objected to 641."

Shea: "All right and 1026."

Fred Selcke: "1026 was read."

Shea: "Thank you."

Miller: "All right, Mr. ah... William Walsh. You want to state the motion, Mr. Walsh, or do you want... Oh, I'm sorry. Just a moment. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I, I didn't rise to object, but I have a question on one of the Bills, 228, and who do I get that question



answered from. I'm not objecting. I just want to ask a question. I want to know if it's the same Resolution that we killed. It sounds like it."

Miller: "Mr. Barnes, can you find out from the Sponsor so we don't take time of the House."

Barnes: "Well, my only question is we had an earlier House Resolution, 105, that essentially according to the Digest said the same thing and I just want to find out if it's the same. That's all."

Miller: "Ah... well, Mr. Barnes, may I make this suggestion to you, sir. Can you find out from the Sponsor of this Bill."

Barnes: "Who is the Sponsor and is he here?"

Miller: "Mr. Keller. Mr. Shea. The Chair would like to proceed, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Since there is ah... I was going to pull it out, but I see the Sponsor is here."

Miller: "Mr. Walsh, I'd like to recognize you for a motion, please."

W.D. Walsh: "I move the passage of the Bills on the Agreed List, Mr. Speaker, those that the Clerk read."

(con't on next page)



Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North."

North: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that ah.. Senate Bill 708 was taken off ah.. the list?"

Miller: "That is correct."

North: "Well, I have been informed by the person that ah.. took it off, that ah.. the objections have been removed and ah.. I would now like leave to bring ah.. Senate Bill 708 back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Miller: "No, ah.. well, no. May I ah.. Mr. North, let's let's ah... pass or take action upon the Bills which have been read upon the Agreed List. And then we will go into any other matter. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask some questions about our procedure here. Now this is a pretty long list ah.. and I frankly, have been trying to read the doggone thing ah... the Bills for three hours now and ah... I'd like to know ah.. when do we get to record our 'no' votes on the one that ah.. if we pass them right now?"

Miller: "The Clerk informs me ah.. that it would be agreeable if those Members who desire to vote 'no' or 'present' on any of the Bills that are read by the Clerk would have the right ah.. if they write the number of the Bill and their desire to vote 'no' or 'present' to come up here and leave them at the Clerk's desk or come into the Clerk's Office within the next hour or so.... not that long? All right, bring them up here and leave them at the Clerk's desk while we're in Session and I think ah.. if you would look at the instructions on the front of this Agreed Bill List suggestions ah.. it spells that out exactly that way. And we would like to go ahead and have the Roll Call on the Bills that the Clerk has read. Does that answer your question, Mr. Duff?"

Duff: "I just wanted to know that we had until the Session was over to get our votes in."

Miller: "All right. I'll recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh with respect to a motion on the Agreed Bill List."

Walsh: "I renew my motion, Mr. Speaker."

Miller: "All right, the question is shall these Bills, as read by



the Clerk pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'no'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson arise?"

Rayson: "Well, I have been trying to get a point of order here. I'm wondering what you have to do to get a Bill off of this list."

Miller: "The instructions have been passed out here ah.. several hours ago. They were on your ah... distributed on your desks...."

Rayson: "I can't hear you, Mr. Speaker."

Miller: "I'm sorry ah.. what did you say?"

Rayson: "Well, are you saying that the instructions ah.. determine the Legislative action here? Because it was my understanding that you could take a Bill off of a Consent Calendar List."

Miller: "This is not a Consent Calendar. This is an Agreed Bill List and if you'd read the instructions.... if every Member in the House reads the instructions signed by all of the Leadership ah... that's attached to the ah.. Bills that were read, that it instructs any Member ah.. that if he wants to take a Bill off of the list, he could come up and sign a slip with the Clerk and the Bill would be removed from the list. Under the rules... under this arrangement... it takes just one Members to do that. We would like to proceed, if we might, Mr. Rayson. All right, take the record, Mr. Clerk. All right, on this question there are 145 'ayes' and 2 'nays' and all of these Bills; and each of these Bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. All right, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt arise?"

Bluthardt: "With leave of the House, would you take Charlie Fleck's 'no' vote off. He's not here. He's not on the floor and somehow ah... a 'no' vote got put on there for Charlie Fleck. He's absent for the time being."

Miller: "All right, remove the name of Mr. Fleck from this Roll Call. All right, ah... now under the order of vetos appears an Amendatory Veto Motion. And in this connection ah... we'll call House Bill 1125 and recognize the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I move to adopt the specific recommendations of the Governor and of the Governor's Amendatory Veto of House Bill 1125. This Amendatory Veto was worked out ah.. with the Governor. It has the



concurrence of the Legislative Investigating Commission. It's a good Amendatory Veto and ah.. it does correct an error in the Bill.

I move that the House do accept those recommendations."

Miller: Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, could I impose on the Sponsor to take this out of the record for just a few minutes?"

Miller: "Well, now just a minute. Now the Chair is under no ah... compulsion to say how long we're going to be here, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendatory Veto ah... excuse me ah... our Leadership is off of the floor and I would just like to make sure that....."

Miller: "Well, the Chair is in no position to make a promise to you, Mr. Berman. That's the point. We may be here sometime yet..."

Berman: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. I'll withdraw my request."

Miller: "We may be here sometime yet and I just didn't want to make a promise that I couldn't fulfill."

Berman: "Well, I withdraw the request."

Miller: "All right. Mr. Simms ah... does he care do make any other statements? All right, the question is shall the House adopt.... the Governor's Amendment with respect to House Bill 1125. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 150 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the Amendment has been adopted. All right, ah.. House Bills, Second Reading. Ah... Senate Bills, Second Reading and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North for a motion."

North: "Mr. Chair.... or Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. Senate Bill 708 was on the Agreed Bill List and ah.. I don't know why it was taken out, however, I would like to now move for the adoption of ah.... or to move to table Amendment #1...."

Miller: "Just a moment ah.. it's on Third Reading right ah.. at the moment."

North: "With leave of the House, I would like to bring that back to Second Reading."



Miller: "Well, first ah... Mr. North, I believe it would be correct that there should be a motion first to take it out of order."

North: "Where is this Bill now? And of what sequence is it? When these Bills are taken off of the Agreed List ah.. where do they fall, Mr. Speaker?"

Miller: "They stay on the same order of business ah.. the same priority of call that they are on the Calendar."

North: "Well, I had checked with both of the Leaders, and I'd like now ah... and got their consent and I would now like leave to call this out of order for an Amendment."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Winnebago moves that the provision to suspend the provisions of Rule 37 so that House Bill..... Senate Bill 708 can be taken out of order. This will... we'll take a Roll Call and all those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 143 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now read..... Mr. Clerk, read ah..."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 708, A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Miller: "All right, now ah.. the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North, moves that this Bill be returned to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Is that correct, Mr. North? Is that your motion?"

North: "Yes, that is my motion."

Miller: "All right, does the Gentleman has leave? All right. Senate Bill 708 is now on the order of Second Reading and Mr. Clerk, read the Amendment."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #2, North. Amend Senate Bill 708 as follows and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North."

North: "Well, first of all ah.. I would like to table the Committee Amendment #1. I move to table Committee Amendment #1."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves to table Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye' and opposed 'nay' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments, Mr.



Clerk?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "I already read it."

Miller: "Mr. North, the Gentleman from Winnebago, with respect to Amendment #2."

North: "Committee Amendment #2 ah.. merely technically clarifies Committee Amendment #1 which was drawn in error. It places in ah.. to license under this Act. And that's all it does, Mr. Speaker. I'd move the adoption of Committee Amendment #2."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye' and opposed 'nay' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading. Now this Bill having been read a third time... the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North."

North: "Mr. Chairm... or Mr. Speaker, this is the heart of the junk vehicle abandonment series that ah.. three out of the four were put on to ah... the Consent Calendar and just passed. Senate Bill 708 provides for the procedure that ah.. gets rid of all of the junk vehicles that are abandoned in our municipalities. It provides for certificates of junking ah.. certificates of salvage and I think this goes a long way in clearing up one of the worst problems that we have in our municipalities. I'd like your favorable vote."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 708 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 147 'ayes'.... please record Mr. McGrew as 'aye'. On this question there are 147 'ayes' and no 'nays' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed."



Miller: "On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 954 and in this connection, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 954 is the budget for the Secretary of State. There were two Amendments offered and adopted in the Senate. Amendment #1 is a 3.9 cost of living increase. Amendment #2 incorporates what were Senate Bills 614 and 1171. 614 relates to library systems and Senate Bill 1171 provides the expenses for the public members of the Vehicle Recycling Board established by Senate Bill 707. I would move you for concurrence in Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 954. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 155 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 954. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North, arise?"

North: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to ah... ah... table Senate Bill 1171."

Miller: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Senate Bill 1171 is tabled. Now the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 33A and that's the Rule that provides that Bills reported out of Committee will appear on the Calendar the, a next day after reporting on the order of ah... Bills, First Legislative Day. We are running out of time now and we will be unable to ah... ah... accommodate Bills if we ah... put them on First Legislative Day; and I would move to do that through June 30, 1973."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "If that Bill... when would it go on the Calendar, those Bills?"

W.D. Walsh: "Well there were several Bills that were heard in the Appropriations Committee today and they're the only ones effected. They will be on the Calendar tomorrow on Second Reading, not Second Reading, First Legislative Day."



Shea: "All right, if they went on Second Reading tomorrow, they go on Second Reading Saturday, they could be passed out of here Sunday, is that correct?"

W.D. Walsh: "Well, I suppose that would be conceivable, but ah... we might as well do it ah... Saturday because we'll be here and can take care of it then I would say. Okay, you've probably forgotten that Saturday is June 30th."

Shea: "I thought the Senate forgot that."

W.D. Walsh: "Perhaps."

Shea: "Well, that's why I thought perhaps..."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, let me tell you this that if we don't, if you don't care to suspend this Rule, ah... then we don't do it, but that would mean that ah... the ah... Appropriations Bills that ah... will be reported out ah... today or have been reported today ah... could only be called for passage then on Sunday and would have to pass by an extraordinary majority."

Shea: "I just wondered why we wanted to do it, Mr. Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "In the interest of good government and I can see how you'd object."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves that the provisions of 33A be suspended so that Bills reported from Committees will appear on the Calendar, what order, Fred... on Second Reading and my... is the Chair correct if he says Second Legislative Day? Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Mr. Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "No, it suspends the Rule that provides for them being on the Calendar on First Legislative Day. They'll be on Second Reading straight."

Miller: "So that these Bills would be on Second Reading, actually Second Legislative Day so they can take and that this be suspended until June 30th. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Am I correct, Mr. Speaker. This motion only relates to 33A?"

Miller: "Correct."

Berman: "I just want to clarify the motion."

Miller: "I thought the Gentleman referred to 33A, if the Chair is incorrect, Mr. Walsh..."



Berman: "It's only 33A that we are suspending now."

Miller: "That's the Chair's understanding. Okay, have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 159 'ayes' and 1 'nay' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, we are going to adjourn now. If there are any announcements, they can be made now. If there are not..."

Miller: "Are there any announcements? The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have an announcement, but ah... if it's proper, I'd like to object to adjournment. We've got some 350 Bills on the Calendar and we've got two more days left. Now are we going to sit here and work or are we going to go out?"

Miller: "All right, Mr. Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, well, I agree with the Gentleman from Rock Island absolutely that we have a great deal of work to do and for that reason, we have decided to come in tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock and if everyone will be here promptly at 9 o'clock so that we can get on with this, we do have a great deal of work to do. Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House..."

Miller: "There may be some announcements. Are there any announcements? Announcement, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I realize how many Bills we have on the Calendar and I've been here now for 30 years and I've only on one occasion do I ever remember taking part in slowing up the proceedings of this House and that was when we tried to pass an F.E.P.C. Bill for twelve years and I did take part in a filibuster that lasted a month here or more on all Senate Bills. I simply rise to tell you because I'm one of the leaders and I want to put you on notice. I received a telegram from the Chicago Housing Authority strongly opposing Senate Bill 777, requiring a referendum for public housing sites in Chicago. The enactment into law of this legislation would seriously impede..."

Miller: "Now, now..."

Davis: "I just want to put you on notice. You asked for a notice. I want to put you on notice."



Miller: "I asked for announcements, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, this is an announcement then. This is an announcement."

Miller: "Make your announcement, sir."

Davis: "The announcement is that my lawyers, Representative Washington and Yourell and so forth has apparently prepared some 30 Amendments and there'll be 40 more if you attempt to pass Senate Bill 777 and I'm telling you now."

Miller: "All right now, look. May I have your attention."

Davis: "...leaders. I'd like to put..."

Miller: "May I have your attention. May I have your attention, please.

I'd like every Member's attention, please. As you know, the distinguished Minority Leader's birthday is today. Now listen to me. Now, now listen. Everybody on this House floor including the present acting Speaker is tired. Some of the Members of the Appropriation Committee have, have worked almost around the clock. We know... every Member on this House knows what the Senate has done today. Now, the Republicans are very happy, Mr. Choate. Mr. Choate, the Republicans are very happy to adjourn this House because of your birthday, Mr. Choate; and, therefore, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, has moved that this House stand adjourned until, what time, Mr. Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, I'll correct it, Mr. Speaker. I said 9, 9:30 is the time, but promptly."

Miller: "Until 9:30 o'clock tomorrow morning, all those in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House stands adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:30. Good Luck, Mr. Choate."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1		Speaker Blair	House to order
		Doctor Johnson	Prayer
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance
2, 3		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Telcser	Introduction to First Reading
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 2005
		Speaker Telcser	
4		Hyde	Announcement on House Bill 2005
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	Reads House Resolutions
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	Resolutions adopted
		Houlihan, D.	Moves to table Senate Bill 1055
5		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1055 tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bills, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Bills are passed
6		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Moves to table House Bill 837
		Speaker Telcser	House Bill 837 tabled
		Clerk Selcke	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues to Read House Resolution 493
		Speaker Telcser	Pay attention
7		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pappas	Moves to suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pappas	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
8		Hyde	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	Moves the previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pappas	Asks for a Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	'Present'
9		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails; General Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	House Resolution 466
		Speaker Telcser	
		Catania	Moves to suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
10		Shea) Speaker Telcser)	
		Collins	
		Shea	Take it out of the record
		Speaker Telcser	
		Catania	Wants to vote on it
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Agrees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Capuzi	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
11		Speaker Telcser	
		Catania	Explains the Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		McLendor	
		Speaker Telcser	
12		Douglas	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas	Continues to explain
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sims, I.	Moves the previous question



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails
		Clerk Selcke	Reads further Resolutions
		Speaker Telcser	
13		Choate	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	Speaker's Table
		Chapman	Moves to suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Chapman)	Moves for adoption of Resolution
)	
14		Speaker Telcser)	
		Wolf; J.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	Explains further
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	Yield
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
		Wolf, J.)	Question
)	
		Chapman)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Schoeberlein)	Wants to make a motion
)	
15		Speaker Telcser)	
		Shea	Question
		Schoeberlein	Explains
16		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails; House Resolution 71 adopted



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Shea	Introductions
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 220
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 220
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Explains Senate Bill 220
		Speaker Telcser	Moves adoption of Amendment #1
		Hill	Please explain Amendment 1
17		Tuerk	Explains Senate Bill 220
17		Speaker Telcser	Further Amendments
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stedelin)	
)	
		Tuerk)	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Explains Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	Would like it tabled
18		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's tabled; Senate Bill 221
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 221
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading; Senate Bill 241
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 241



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Yield
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
19		Philip)	Question
)	
		Giorgi)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
20		Philip	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		McMaster	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 prevails, Third Reading
21		Barnes)	Question
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
21		Friedland	In response
		Barnes)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Walsh, W.	Take the Bill off the list
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schlickman	Proceeds
22		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Caldwell	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 242
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 242
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments from the floor
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Wants to hold Senate Bills 242 and 241
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 903
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 903
23		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	One Committee Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Campbell	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 is adopted; Further Amendments
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
24		Campbell	Explains Amendment #2



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 is adopted; Senate Bill 737
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 737
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	Moves to table Senate Bills 737 and 738
		Speaker Telcser	Both Bills are tabled; Senate Bill 860
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 860
		Speaker Telcser	There is
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Moves adoption of Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
25		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 12
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 12
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 15
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 15
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted; Senate Bill 29
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 29
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Capparelli	Moves for its adoption



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Choate	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 38
26		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 38
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	Moves to table Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	His Bill
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		McPartlin	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian)	Answers
)	
		McPartlin)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Miller	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
28		Stone	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
29		Schraeder	Explains 'yes' vote
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Mugalian	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
30		Lundy	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	Explains Amendment #3, table
		Speaker Telcser)	Tabled; Senate Bill 192
		Clerk O'Brien)	Reads Senate Bill 300
31		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	No Amendments
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hart	Suspend the rules for Senate Bill 1071
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1071
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hart	Explains Senate Bill 1701
		Speaker Telcser	Bill passed; Senate Bill 313
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 313
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Moves adoption of Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 326
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 330
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 330
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 430



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 430
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 462
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 462
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 514
33.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 514
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kosinski	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted; Senate Bill 780
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 780
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mahar	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
34		Skinner	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mahar	Against
		Speaker Telcser	
35		Kennedy	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
36		Walsh, R.	Explains 'aye' vote



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mahar	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schneider	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone)	Dump Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Speaker Telcser	
38		Hill	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kennedy	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deavers	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails, Amendment #2 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Moves to table
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		LaFleur	Moves to table
39		Speaker Telcser	Tabled; Senate Bill 924
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 924
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Moves for adoption of Committee Amendment #1



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

 STATE OF ILLINOIS
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Explains
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 937
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke)	Reads Senate Bill 962
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
40		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas)	Moves Amendment be tabled
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted; Senate Bill 1052
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1052
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1069
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1069
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas	Moves Amendment be tabled
41		Speaker Telcser	Tabled; Senate Bill 1076



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1076
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1138
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1138
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	Moves for its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
42		Bluthardt)	Moves to table
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1169
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 68
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 68
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 89
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 89
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
43		Collins	Moves adoption of Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted; Senate Bill 93
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 93
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 142
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 157
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 183
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 183
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	Moves its adoption
44		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 184
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 185
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 186
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	Moves its adoption
45		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 236
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Table Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment 6
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Deuster	Support
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment 6 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 7
46		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment 8
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Explains and moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment 9
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Moves to table
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's tabled
47		Clerk Selcke	Amendment 10
		Deuster	Moves adoption of Amendment 10
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 258
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Explains Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted; Senate Bill 263
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 263
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 264



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 264
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment 1
		Speaker Telser	
		Dyer	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Take it out of the record
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 278
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 287
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 287
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
49		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 290
		Speaker Telcser	Out of the record; Senate Bill 303
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 303
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 317
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 317
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deavers	Moves its adoption, Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deavers	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 320
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Pappas	Moves its adoption, Amendment 1
50		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 328
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 329
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 369
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 369
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Committee Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Read Amendment 1
51		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Calvo	Question
		Maragos	Response
		Calvo	No objections
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 371
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Pierce	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
52		Pierce	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Telcser	
		McPartlin)	Question
)	
		Pierce)	Response
53		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 377
		Londrigan	Moves adoption of Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 406
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 459
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 460
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 466
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 531
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	488
54		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 581
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 605



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 606
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 616
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 645
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 646
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 647
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.)	Question
		Speaker Telcser)	646; Senate Bill 647
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 647
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
55		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 660
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		North	Explains
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 661
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading; Senate Bill 689
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 689
		Speaker Telcser	
		Waddell	Moves adoption of Amendment 1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		McPartlin)	Question
)	
		Waddell)	Response
56		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 703
		Speaker Telcser	
		Flinn	Leave to call other Bills
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 701
		Speaker Telcser	
		Flinn	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 702
		Speaker Telcser	
		Flinn	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
57		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 704
		Speaker Telcser	
		Flinn	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 705
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 757
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 769
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 783
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 793
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
58		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 820
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walters	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 824
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Move Bill back
59		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett)	Question
)	
		Giorgi)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Juckett)	
)	
		Giorgi)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		McPartlin)	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 826
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment 1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
60		Juckett	Leave to be left on Second
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi)) Juckett)	Question
		Speaker Telcser)) Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Juckett	No objection to moving them to Third
61		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 827
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Committee Amendment 11
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 829
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 830
62		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Giorgi)) Speaker Telcser)	Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 830 is adopted



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevec	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 832
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
63		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 833
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 880
		Speaker Telcser	Take it out of the record
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 906
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 906
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 909
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 910
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
64		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Amendment withdrawn
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 923
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 932
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 940
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 981
		Speaker Telcser	
		McPartlin	Moves adoption of Committee Amendment
65		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 995
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1007
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hart	Moves adoption of Committee Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1027
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1049
		Speaker Telcser	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kucharski	Table Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1050
66		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1051



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	Amendments?
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	
		Matijevich	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	
67		Day	Opposes Amendment
		Speaker Miller	
		Pierce	Yield?
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
68		Pierce)	Question
)	
		Matijevich)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		McPartlin	Supports Amendment
		Speaker Miller	
69		Wolfe, B.	Opposes Amendment
		Speaker Miller	
		Dunne, R.))	Question
))	
		Speaker Miller)	
))	
		Day))	Response
		Speaker Miller	
70		Matijevich	Point of order
		Speaker Miller	
		Dunne, R.	Question answered
		Speaker Miller	
		Matijevich	To close
		Speaker Miller	Amendment fails



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
71		Matijevich	Withdraws Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 3
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1082
		Speaker Miller	
		Tipword	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
72		Tipword	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1100
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1133
		Speaker Miller	Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	
		Rayson	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1145
73		Speaker Miller	Third Reading on Senate Bill 1133
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1145
		Speaker Miller	Amendments?



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	
		Merlo	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1162
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1173
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
74		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Rigney	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		McPartlin	Question
		Speaker Miller	
		McPartlin)	Opposes Amendment
)	
		Rigney)	
		Speaker Miller	
		Leinenweber	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
75		Leinenweber)	Question
)	
		Rigney)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	Opposes Amendment
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Skinner	Bill should be passed
		Speaker Miller	
		Ryan	Yield
76		Speaker Miller	Proceed
77		Ryan)	Question
)	
		Lechowicz)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Rigney	To close
78		Speaker Miller	
		Neff	Explains vote
		Speaker Miller	Amendment 2 lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1174
79		Speaker Miller	
		Lemke	Moves its adoption
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Rigney	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1183
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1194
		Speaker Miller	
80		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Speaker Miller	
		Skinner	Question
		Walsh, W.	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz)	
)	
		Walsh, W.)	
		Speaker Miller	
		Schlickman	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
		Schlickman	Question
		Speaker Miller	
81		Lechowicz	Explains
		Speaker Miller	
		Skinner)	Remarks
)	
		Lechowicz)	Response
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1195
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 152
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
82		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 210
		Speaker Miller	
		Leinenweber	Moves Amendment be adopted



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 213
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 390
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
83		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 419
		Speaker Miller	Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	
		Lundy	Moves to table
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Pierce	
		Beaupre	Take it out of the record
84		Speaker Miller	
		McPartlin	Take it out of the record
		Speaker Miller	
		Day	Wants to proceed with the Bill
		Speaker Miller	
85		Beaupre	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Day	Question
		Clerk Selcke	Answers
		Day	Against
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
86		McPartlin	Take it out of the record
		Speaker Miller	
		Beaupre	
		Speaker Miller	Read Amendment 2
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
87		Clerk Selcke	Continues reading
		Speaker Miller	
		Beaupre	
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
		Beaupre	Continues, moves for adoption
		Speaker Miller	
88		Day	Against
		Speaker Miller	
		Beaupre	To close
89		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 3
		Speaker Miller	
90		Stone	Moves for its adoption
		Speaker Miller	
91		Rayson	Against
		Speaker Miller	
		Day	Against
92		Speaker Miller	
93		Stone	To close
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost



<u>Page.</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 4
		Speaker Miller	
		Houlihan, D.	
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	
94		Houlihan, D.	Moves adoption of Amendment 4
		Speaker Miller	
95		Rayson	Against
		Speaker Miller	
		Day	Against
96		Speaker Miller	
		Giorgi	Question
		Speaker Miller	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Miller	
97		Houlihan, D.	Moves adoption of Amendment 4
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 5
		Speaker Miller	
98		Getty	Moves adoption of Amendment 5
		Speaker Miller	
		Day	
99		Speaker Miller	
		Getty	
		Day	
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Day	
		Speaker Miller	
100		Getty	To close
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 6
		Speaker Miller	
101, 102, 103		Sangmeister	Moves adoption of Amendment 6 and a Roll Call
		Speaker Miller	
		Rayson	Against
		Speaker Miller	
		Washington	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
104, 105		Washington))	Question
		Sangmeister)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
106, 107		Maragos	
		Speaker Miller	
		Holloway, R.	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
		Holloway, R.))	Question
		Sangmeister)	Response
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
108		Holloway, R.	
		Speaker Miller	
		Huskey	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Huskey	Continues
		Speaker Miller	
109		Day	Against
		Speaker Miller	
110		Sangmeister	To close
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 7
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea	
111		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
112		Clerk Selcke	Repeats reading of Amendment 7
		Speaker Miller	
113		Calvo	Moves adoption of Amendment 7
		Speaker Miller	
		Rayson	Against
		Speaker Miller	
		Day	Against
		Speaker miller	
114		Calvo	To close
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 8
		Speaker Miller	
115,		Shea)	Yield
116,)	
117		Day)	Response
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Duff	A point of order
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea	Moves adoption of Amendment 8
		Speaker Miller	
118		Day	Opposes
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea	To close
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
		Shea	
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 9
		Speaker Miller	
119,		Ewell	Moves adoption of Amendment 9
120		Speaker Miller	
		Mugalian)	Question
)	
		Ewell)	Response
		Speaker Miller)	
)	
		Ewell)	
121		Day	Opposes
		Speaker Miller	
		Ewell	To close
		Speaker Miller	
		Deavers	Point of order
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
122		Ewell	Supports
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 455
		Speaker Miller	Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	
		Juckett	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
123		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 461
		Speaker Miller	Amendments?
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	
124, 125		Hanahan,	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
126		Speaker Miller	
		Lauer	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
		Lauer)	Question
)	
		Hanahan)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
127		Lauer	Speaks to the Bill
		Speaker Miller	
		Caldwell	Opposes
		Speaker Miller	
128		Lechowicz	Opposes
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Borchers	
		Speaker Miller	
		Collins)	Yield
)	
		Hanahan)	Response
129		Speaker Miller	
		Hanahan	
		Collins	
		Speaker Miller	
		Farley	Moves the previous question
		Speaker Miller	
		Collins	
		Speaker Miller	
130		Washington	Opposes
		Speaker Miller	
131		Hanahan	To close
		Speaker Miller	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
132		Washington	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lechowicz)	Question
)	
		Washington)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	
133		Speaker Miller	
		Washington	
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh)	
)	
134,		Speaker Miller)	
135)	
		Washington)	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 192
		Speaker Miller	
		Murphy	Moves to table Amendment 1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Murphy	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
136		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 479
		Speaker Miller	
		Ryan	Moves adoption of Amendment 1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Wolf, J.	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
		Ryan	No
		Wolf, J.	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Ryan	Moves to table Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 3
		Speaker Miller	
		Ryan	Moves adoption of Amendment 4
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
137		Lechowicz	Question
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 880
		Speaker Miller	
		Griesheimer	Moves adoption of Amendment
		Speaker Miller	
		Berman)	Question
)	
		Griesheimer)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Berman	Question
138		Griesheimer	Response
		Speaker Miller	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Wolf, J.	Yield
		Speaker Miller	Proceed
		Ryan	No
		Wolf, J.	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Ryan	Moves to table Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 3
		Speaker Miller	
		Ryan	Moves adoption of Amendment 4
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
137		Lechowicz	Question
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 880
		Speaker Miller	
		Griesheimer	Moves adoption of Amendment
		Speaker Miller	
		Berman)	Question
)	
		Griesheimer)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Berman	Question
138		Griesheimer	Response
		Speaker Miller	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Terzich)) Griesheimer)	Question Response
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Griesheimer	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted
138		Walsh, W.	Moves House stand in recess
139		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	Request
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	
140		Clerk O'Brien	Reads House Resolution 498
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	Moves adoption of Resolution
141		Speaker Miller	
		Tipsword	
		Representatives	Sing 'Happy Birthday'
		Speaker Miller	
		Simms	Request
		Speaker Miller	
		Choate	
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, W.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	House stands in recess for 30 minutes
142		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Committee Reports
		Speaker Miller	Messages from the Senate
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Miller	Announcement
143		Leinenweber	Request
143		Speaker Miller	
144		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Bill List
		Speaker Miller	
145		Shea	
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues reading list
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues reading list
146		Speaker Miller	
		Bluthardt	
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Miller	
		Bluthardt)	Continues
		Speaker Miller)	
		Shea	
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
		Shea)	
		Speaker Miller)	
147		Barnes)	Question
)	
		Speaker Miller)	
		Shea	
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, W.	Moves passage of Agreed Bill list
148		Speaker Miller)	
)	
		North)	Question
		Speaker Miller)	
)	
		Duff)	Questions
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, W.	Renews motion for passage
149		Speaker Miller)	
)	
		Raysen)	Point of order
		Speaker Miller	
		Bluthardt	
		Speaker Miller	Order of Veto motions
150		Simms	Moves to adopt amendatory veto of House Bill 1125
		Speaker Miller	
		Berman)	Take it out of the record?
)	
		Speaker Miller)	Motion is adopted
		North)	Moves adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 708, suspend rules
)	
151		Speaker Miller)	Motion prevails, rules suspended



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 708
		Speaker Miller)	
		North)	Senate Bill 708 returned to Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Miller	Table Amendment
		North	Table Amendment 1
152		Speaker Miller	Amendment 1 is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Read it already
		Speaker Miller	
		North	Explains Amendment 2, moves adoption
		Speaker Miller	Amendment's adopted; Third Reading
		North	Moves adoption of Senate Bill 708
153		Speaker Miller	Senate Bill 708 passed; Con- currences
		Shea	Moves adoption of House Bill 954, Amendments 1 and 2
		Speaker Miller	Amendments 1 and 2 adopted
		North	Leave to table Senate Bill 1171
		Speaker Miller	Tabled
		Walsh	Suspend rules
		Speaker Miller	
154		Shea)	Question
		Walsh, W.)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
155		Berman)) Speaker Miller)	Question Response
155		Wals, W. Speaker Miller Pappas Speaker Miller	Suggest adjournment Announcements Objects to adjournment
		Walsh, W. Speaker Miller	Explains Announcements?
156		Davis Speaker Miller Walsh, W. Speaker Miller	House adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow Agreed, House adjourned

